



The Planning Inspectorate  
Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

# The Planning Inspectorate

## Statistical Report: England

2009/10

# The Planning Inspectorate Statistical Report: England 2009/10

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Introduction</a>		3
<a href="#">Commentary</a>		5
<a href="#">Performance against targets</a>		9
<b>Section 1: Planning appeals (under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act)</b>		
<a href="#">Table 1.1</a>	Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service	- Average time taken to decide appeals (weeks) 11
<a href="#">Table 1.2</a>		- Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed 12
<a href="#">Table 1.3</a>		- Received, by procedure type 13
<a href="#">Table 1.4</a>		- Decided, by procedure type 14
<a href="#">Table 1.5</a>		- Received, decided, allowed, by development type 15
<a href="#">Table 1.6</a>		- Received, decided, allowed, by land use type 18
<a href="#">Table 1.7</a>		- Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed, by procedure type 20
<a href="#">Table 1.8</a>		- Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed by permission type (appeals) 22
<a href="#">Table 1.9</a>		- Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed by permission type (dwellings) 23
<b>Section 2: Enforcement appeals (under Section 174 of the Town and Country Planning Act)</b>		
<a href="#">Table 2.1</a>	Enforcement appeals	- Average time taken to decide appeals (weeks) 24
<a href="#">Table 2.2</a>		- Received, withdrawn, decided, outcome 25
<a href="#">Table 2.3</a>		- Received, by procedure type 25
<a href="#">Table 2.4</a>		- Decided, by procedure type 26
<b>Section 3: Other types of casework</b>		
<a href="#">Table 3.1</a>	Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed 27
<a href="#">Table 3.2</a>	Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided, Notice upheld 27
<a href="#">Table 3.3</a>	Lawful Development Certificate appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed 28
<a href="#">Table 3.4</a>	Advertisement appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed 28
<a href="#">Table 3.5</a>	Rights of Way Orders	- Submitted, decided, confirmed 29
<a href="#">Table 3.6</a>	Land Compensation appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided 29
<a href="#">Table 3.7</a>	Purchase Notice appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided 30
<a href="#">Table 3.8</a>	Environmental appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided 30
<a href="#">Table 3.9</a>	High Hedges appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided 31
<a href="#">Table 3.10</a>	Tree Preservation appeals	- Received, withdrawn, decided 31
<a href="#">Table 3.11a</a>	Development Plan Documents	- DPD Programme since 2004 32
<a href="#">Table 3.11b</a>		- Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued 33
<a href="#">Table 3.12</a>	Transport & associated appeals	- Received and reports issued 39
<a href="#">Table 3.13</a>	Commons related casework	- Received, withdrawn, decided 40

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Table 3.14</a>	Wildlife & Countryside Act: Definitive Map Modification Orders	- Received, withdrawn, decided	43
<a href="#">Table 3.15</a>		- Decided, by procedure	43
<a href="#">Table 3.16</a>		- Decided, by outcome	43
<a href="#">Table 3.17</a>	Secretary of State casework	- Called in Planning applications	44
<a href="#">Table 3.18</a>		- Recovered Planning appeals	45
<a href="#">Table 3.19</a>		- Recovered Enforcement appeals	46
<a href="#">Table 3.20</a>		- Call Ins and Recovered appeals: Hearing and Inquiry dates	47
<b>Section 4: Costs and Quality</b>			
<a href="#">Table 4.1</a>	Costs applications	- Decisions, awards	48
<a href="#">Table 4.2</a>	Quality	- Decisions challenged, results, propensity to challenge	49
<a href="#">Table 4.3</a>		- Complaints received, outcome	50
<a href="#">Table 4.4</a>		- Decisions corrected	51
<b>Section 5: Decided appeals breakdown by outcome by Inspector</b>			
<a href="#">Table 5.1</a>	Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector	- Introduction	52
<a href="#">Table 5.1a</a>		- Enforcement appeals	53
<a href="#">Table 5.1b</a>		- Planning appeals	58
<b>Section 6: Decided appeals breakdown by local planning authority</b>			
<a href="#">Table 6.1</a>	Decided appeals: by local planning authority	- Planning appeals	81
<a href="#">Table 6.2</a>		- Householder appeals	96
<a href="#">Table 6.3</a>		- Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals	111
<a href="#">Table 6.4</a>		- Advertisement Consent appeals	126
<a href="#">Table 6.5</a>		- Enforcement appeals	139
<a href="#">Table 6.6</a>		- Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals	153
<a href="#">Table 6.7</a>		- Lawful Development Certificate appeals	167
<b>Section 7: Staffing</b>			
<a href="#">Table 7.1</a>	Staffing	- Staff Complement	185
<a href="#">Table 7.2</a>		- Distribution of Inspector Resources	186
<b>Section 8: Electronic working</b>			
<a href="#">Table 8.1</a>	Online appeals	- Local authorities working electronically	187
<a href="#">Table 8.2</a>		- Visits and Views (England & Wales)	205
<a href="#">Table 8.3</a>		- Online appeals as percentage of all appeals (England & Wales)	206
<b>Section 9: Freedom of Information</b>			
<a href="#">Table 9.1</a>	Freedom of Information	- Requests, responses, reviews	207

# Statistical Report: England

## Introduction

1. Format - Full Report: We have amended the format of this report to make it easier to use, with hyperlinks from the Contents page to the relevant sheet in this Workbook, and a hyperlink back from each sheet to the Contents page. The hyperlink can be found at the top of each sheet, on the right.
2. Content: We have also included additional information - for example the new section giving a breakdown of decided appeals by local planning authority - which until now has been published separately.

## Quarterly Statistical Reports

3. From 2010/11 the Inspectorate will be publishing Statistical Reports on a quarterly basis. Each report will contain provisional data for the quarter just ended, and finalised data for the quarter before that. This will replace the previous Data verification process where certain information was published on the Planning Inspectorate's website and local authorities were asked to review it and raise any queries with us.

## Data quality

4. The Inspectorate carries out regular data checks and cleansing exercises to ensure that its information is as up to date, complete and accurate as possible. The data in this report is therefore the best available as at the date below. Published figures may be subject to later adjustment following further checks and cleansing exercises.

## Queries

5. Any queries on the content of this report should be sent to the postal or e-mail address given below

## Publication

6. This report is available in electronic form on the internet.

# Statistical Report: England

## Introduction

7. The Report is also available in hard copy from:  
Data Supply Team  
Management Information and Analysis Branch  
The Planning Inspectorate  
Room 4/20 Hawk Wing  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Temple Quay  
Bristol  
BS1 6PN

Tel: 0117 372 8625  
Email: [MIA@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:MIA@pins.gsi.gov.uk)

17 June 2010

# Statistical Report: England

## Commentary

### Town and Country Planning Act 2008

1. Certain provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 2008 were brought into effect on 6 April 2009. Details are given below of the provisions for which statistics have been included in this Commentary and/or the main body of this report.

#### Householder Appeals Service

2. The Householder Appeals Service<sup>1</sup> (known as HAS) relates to appeals made under s78 of the Town and Country Planning Act where the development is for changes to an existing dwelling, with certain exceptions.

3. A total of 3,247 such appeals were received, and 2,218 were decided.

#### Determination of Procedure

4. The Act also introduced a power<sup>2</sup> giving the Planning Inspectorate, through the Secretary of State, the power to determine the procedure to be used for certain types of appeal. It came into effect on 6 April 2009 in relation to s78 planning and s174 enforcement appeals.

5. The Inspectorate's aim is to ensure that the selected procedure is the most appropriate and proportionate to the appeal concerned, enabling the Inspector to make a fully informed, reasoned and sound decision. This new power has been exercised in an open, fair and transparent way, with the Inspectorate changing the procedure in 1,302 Planning and Enforcement cases (6.4%), but for the most part the procedure identified by the parties has been adopted. One or other party has however challenged our determination in 277 cases, and in 102 of those, the Inspectorate accepted that challenge based on further information provided. The final decision on procedure is professionally informed by a senior Inspector.

<sup>1</sup> Statutory Instrument SI 2009 No 452:

- defines a householder appeal as "an appeal under section 78(1) of the Act in relation to a householder application, except an appeal against the grant of any planning permission, consent, agreement or approval which is granted subject to conditions".
- states that a householder application relates to applications for development of an existing dwellinghouse, or within the curtilage of such a dwellinghouse, or for any consent, agreement or approval required for such development
- states that a dwellinghouse "does not include a building containing one or more flats, or a flat contained within such a building".

<sup>2</sup> s196 of the 2008 Act inserted this provision into the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as section 319A.

# Statistical Report: England

## Commentary

### Availability of Guidance

6. As part of the 2008 Planning Act changes, the Planning Inspectorate published procedural guidance (PINS 01/2009 on Planning appeals and called-in planning applications, and PINS 02/2009 on Enforcement appeals and determination of appeal procedure). This supports the Ministerial Statement made on 11 March 2009. The guidance contains essential information on new procedures and is supported by 16 Good Practice Advice Notes.

[For the Guidance, see the Planning Portal](#)

### **Performance against Departmental Strategic Objectives and other key targets**

7. The Planning Inspectorate's targets for casework in England for 2009/10 were set out in full in Annex C of the Annual Report for 2008/09.

[http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/annual\\_report\\_09.pdf](http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/annual_report_09.pdf)

8. The Inspectorate met all three Departmental Strategic Objective targets relating to timeliness, plus the targets for completing work on Development Plans within the agreed timetable, and the quality of administration of casework.

9. The Inspectorate narrowly failed to meet the demanding 99% target for Inspectors' decisions to be free from justified compliant, achieving 98.7%.

10. 58% of inquires and hearings into Called in planning applications and planning appeals decided by the Secretary of State were opened within 22 weeks of their start date. This is 22% below target but in 73% of cases, the Inspectorate offered dates within the 22 weeks target period.

[For Performance against Targets, see Performance sheet](#)

# Statistical Report: England

## Commentary

### Economy

11. The economy appears to have affected the number of appeals submitted to the Planning Inspectorate, with a reduction of around 18% for s78 planning appeals (inc HAS) and around 11% for s174 enforcement appeals.

12. The number of appeals decided also reduced by approximately 18% for s78 planning appeals (inc HAS), although the number of s174 enforcement appeals decided rose by around 16%.

[For Planning appeals, see Table 1.2](#)

[For Enforcement appeals, see Table 2.2](#)

13. The proportion of planning appeals being processed using the three types of procedure has been affected by the implementation of the Town and Country Planning Act 2008 (see above), with appeals proceeding by the Written Representations method increasing from 79% to 87%, and decreases of 7% and 2% respectively for Hearings and Inquiries.

14. Similar changes affected enforcement appeals, with those proceeding by the Written Representations method increasing 6% to 63%, with a drop of 5% to 14% for Hearings, and 2% to 23% for Inquiries.

[For Planning appeals by Procedure, see Table 1.3](#)

15. The proportion of cases for particular types of development has changed this year, with the most probable cause being the economy - for example, there was an increase in the proportion of casework relating to householder development, but a reduction for minor dwellings.

[For Planning appeals by Development type, see Table 1.5](#)

16. The number of appeals relating to the provision of new dwellings reduced significantly, as did the number of affected dwellings. The number of appeals reduced by 30% and the number of dwellings by 41%.

[For Planning appeals relating to new dwellings, see Tables 1.8 and 1.9](#)

# Statistical Report: England

## Commentary

### Time to decide

17. The Inspectorate has continued to reduce the average number of weeks to determine both Planning (s78) and Enforcement (s174) appeals. The average has improved by at least 1 week across the board, but by significantly more for planning hearings - 6 weeks, planning inquiries - 5 weeks, enforcement hearings and inquiries - 3 weeks.

[For Planning appeals, inc HAS, see the Graphs at Table 1.1](#)

[For Enforcement appeals, see the Graphs at Table 2.1](#)

### Success rates

18. Success rates for Written Representations and Hearing planning appeals remained steady, whilst the rate for inquiry casework rose to 53%.

[For Planning appeals, see Table 1.4](#)

19. For enforcement appeals, the percentage of Hearing cases quashed has remained steady, whilst it has gone down for Written Representation cases and up for Inquiry cases.

[For Enforcement appeals, see Table 2.4](#)

### Other work

20. In addition to the casework detailed in this report, a total of 139 Early Engagement visits were carried out in relation to the Development plan process, and there were also 6 examinations into Regional Spatial Strategies.

# Statistical Report: England

## England, & England jointly with Wales - Performance against targets

<b>England</b>				
<b>Planning appeals: Departmental Strategic Objectives</b>		<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
To determine 80% of Householder appeals within 8 weeks of the Start date	<b>Target</b>			80%
	<b>Outturn</b>			<b>95%</b>
To issue the decision / report on planning casework with a bespoke timetable, in accordance with that timetable in all cases	<b>Target</b>			100%
	<b>Outturn</b>			<b>100%</b>
To issue the decision / report on 80% of remaining planning appeals within 6 months (26 weeks) of the Start date	<b>Target</b>			80%
	<b>Outturn</b>			<b>89%</b>
<b>Called in Planning Applications and Planning appeals decided by the Secretary of State</b>				
To open 80% of inquiries and hearings within 22 weeks of the Start date	<b>Target</b>	80%	80%	80%
	<b>Outturn</b>	52%	57%	<b>58%</b>
<b>Development Plans</b>				
To complete examinations into Development Plan Documents in accordance with the timescales set out in the detailed service level agreements	<b>Target</b>	100%	100%	
	<b>Outturn</b>	100%	100%	
To issue Inspectors' reports (for fact check) on examinations into development plan documents in accordance with the timescales set out in the detailed Service Level Agreements.	<b>Target</b>			100%
	<b>Outturn</b>			<b>100%</b>

# Statistical Report: England

## England, & England jointly with Wales - Performance against targets





<b>England &amp; Wales</b>				
<b>Quality</b>		<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
99% of Inspectors' decisions free from justified compliant	<b>Target</b>	99%	99%	99%
	<b>Outturn</b>	99.1%	98.9%	<b>98.7%</b>
<b>England</b>				
Decisions issued		28,576	28,940	25,346
Total substantiated complaints plus quashed decisions		261	333	335
<b>Wales</b>				
Decisions issued			1,278	1,320
Total substantiated complaints plus quashed decisions			10	6
<b>Combined</b>				
Decisions issued		28,576	30,218	26,666
Total substantiated complaints plus quashed decisions		261	343	341
90% of administration of casework free from justified complaint	<b>Target</b>		90%	90%
	<b>Outturn</b>		99.8%	<b>99.7%</b>
<b>Customer Satisfaction</b>		<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
To survey customer satisfaction with the Inspectorate's performance and to act upon the results		<b>Achieved</b>	<b>Achieved</b>	<b>Achieved</b>

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.1 - Average time taken to decide appeals (weeks)

Cases decided by Inspectors	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Householder Appeals Service (HAS) Written Reps*	21 weeks	19 weeks	7 weeks
Non-HAS Written Reps			18 weeks
Hearings	37 weeks	29 weeks	23 weeks
Inquiries	35 weeks	34 weeks	29 weeks

\* The Householder Appeals Service was introduced in April 2009 - see Commentary. All HAS cases are determined by the Written Representations procedure.



— - target to determine 80% of appeals

Note: The graphs above exclude 8 Written Reps (non- HAS) appeals, 7 Hearings and 17 Inquiries which all took longer than 52 weeks to determine.

## Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.2 - Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed

		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	HAS	22,897	20,839	3,247
	All, except HAS			13,674
Withdrawn	HAS	1,765	1,584	19
	All, except HAS			787
Decided	HAS	20,016	21,087	2,218
	All, except HAS			15,153
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	HAS	7,083	7,076	714
	All, except HAS			4,839
% allowed	HAS	35%	34%	32%
	All, except HAS			32%

## Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.3 - Received, by procedure type

		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations	HAS	18,142	16,202	3,247
	All, except HAS			11,549
Written Representations %	HAS	79%	79%	19%
	All, except HAS			68%
	All, including HAS			87%
Hearings		3,294	3,179	1,536
Hearings %		14%	16%	9%
Inquiries		1,461	1,009	589
Inquiries %		6%	5%	3%

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.4 - Decided, by procedure type

		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations: HAS	Decided			2,218
	Allowed <sup>1</sup>			714
	% allowed			32%
Written Representations: All, except HAS	Decided	16,875	16,854	12,418
	Allowed <sup>1</sup>	5,732	5,332	3,695
	% allowed	34%	32%	30%
Hearings	Decided	2,252	3,332	2,174
	Allowed <sup>1</sup>	873	1,312	847
	% allowed	39%	39%	39%
Inquiries	Decided	889	901	561
	Allowed <sup>1</sup>	478	432	297
	% allowed	54%	48%	53%

<sup>1</sup> including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.5 - Received, decided, allowed, by development type

Received	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Change of use	1,888	8.3%	1,621	8.0%	1,609	9.5%
Householder development	7,366	32.4%	6,785	33.4%	6,674	39.5%
Major dwellings <sup>1</sup>	1,617	7.1%	1,201	5.9%	788	4.7%
Major manufacturing, storage and warehousing <sup>2</sup>	34	0.1%	14	0.1%	23	0.1%
Major offices <sup>2</sup>	37	0.2%	27	0.1%	14	0.1%
Major retail, distribution and servicing <sup>2</sup>	47	0.2%	37	0.2%	50	0.3%
Mineral working	12	0.1%	10	0.0%	9	0.1%
Minor dwellings	7,552	33.2%	7,177	35.4%	5,046	29.9%
Minor manufacturing, storage and warehousing	116	0.5%	125	0.6%	114	0.7%
Minor offices	142	0.6%	137	0.7%	102	0.6%
Minor retail, distribution and servicing	179	0.8%	191	0.9%	304	1.8%
Other major development <sup>2</sup>	536	2.4%	327	1.6%	262	1.6%
Other minor development	3,188	14.0%	2,650	13.1%	1,901	11.3%

<sup>1</sup> - number of dwellings proposed was 10 or more or the site area was 0.5 hectares or more

<sup>2</sup> - where the gross floor space was 1,000 square metres or more or the site area was 1 hectare or more

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.5 - Received, decided, allowed, by development type

Decided	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Change of use	1,716	8.6%	1,756	8.3%	1,346	7.7%
Householder development	6,686	33.4%	6,992	33.2%	7,066	40.7%
Major dwellings <sup>1</sup>	1,245	6.2%	1,303	6.2%	745	4.3%
Major manufacturing, storage and warehousing <sup>2</sup>	28	0.1%	16	0.1%	19	0.1%
Major offices <sup>2</sup>	17	0.1%	16	0.1%	16	0.1%
Major retail, distribution and servicing <sup>2</sup>	25	0.1%	31	0.1%	25	0.1%
Mineral working	16	0.1%	9	0.0%	10	0.1%
Minor dwellings	6,401	32.0%	7,748	36.7%	5,414	31.2%
Minor manufacturing, storage and warehousing	74	0.4%	133	0.6%	95	0.5%
Minor offices	114	0.6%	134	0.6%	110	0.6%
Minor retail, distribution and servicing	180	0.9%	175	0.8%	214	1.2%
Other major development <sup>2</sup>	346	1.7%	323	1.5%	259	1.5%
Other minor development	3,168	15.8%	2,451	11.6%	2,052	11.8%

<sup>1</sup> - number of dwellings proposed was 10 or more or the site area was 0.5 hectares or more

<sup>2</sup> - where the gross floor space was 1,000 square metres or more or the site area was 1 hectare or more

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.5 - Received, decided, allowed, by development type

Allowed <sup>3</sup>	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Change of use	668	38.9%	714	40.7%	495	36.8%
Householder development	2,401	35.9%	2,503	35.8%	2,483	35.1%
Major dwellings <sup>1</sup>	474	38.1%	413	31.7%	275	36.9%
Major manufacturing, storage and warehousing <sup>2</sup>	20	71.4%	13	81.3%	8	42.1%
Major offices <sup>2</sup>	8	47.1%	7	43.8%	8	50.0%
Major retail, distribution and servicing <sup>2</sup>	14	56.0%	15	48.4%	16	64.0%
Mineral working	5	31.3%	4	44.4%	4	40.0%
Minor dwellings	1,834	28.7%	2,097	27.1%	1,494	27.6%
Minor manufacturing, storage and warehousing	29	39.2%	46	34.6%	27	28.4%
Minor offices	51	44.7%	50	37.3%	44	40.0%
Minor retail, distribution and servicing	77	42.8%	75	42.9%	90	42.1%
Other major development <sup>2</sup>	144	41.6%	139	43.0%	134	51.7%
Other minor development	1,358	42.9%	1,000	40.8%	816	39.8%

<sup>1</sup> - number of dwellings proposed was 10 or more or the site area was 0.5 hectares or more

<sup>2</sup> - where the gross floor space was 1,000 square metres or more or the site area was 1 hectare or more

<sup>3</sup> - including split decisions

## Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.6 - Received, decided, allowed, by land use type

Received	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry	456	2.0%	474	2.3%	423	2.5%
Community, Education and Defence Services	364	1.6%	372	1.8%	358	2.1%
Housing and Residential Development	18,400	81.1%	16,438	81.1%	13,498	79.9%
Industry	178	0.8%	171	0.8%	157	0.9%
Leisure and Recreation	357	1.6%	324	1.6%	237	1.4%
Mineral Extraction	16	0.1%	19	0.1%	24	0.1%
Offices	509	2.2%	396	2.0%	283	1.7%
Shopping	1,371	6.0%	1,300	6.4%	1,209	7.2%
Transport and Utilities	818	3.6%	579	2.9%	547	3.2%
Wholesale and storage	231	1.0%	190	0.9%	156	0.9%

Decided	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry	447		437		408	
Community, Education and Defence Services	304		309		325	
Housing and Residential Development	16,257		17,426		14,166	
Industry	125		151		149	
Leisure and Recreation	270		319		241	
Mineral Extraction	21		12		18	
Offices	408		411		298	
Shopping	1,151		1,284		1,106	
Transport and Utilities	856		522		497	
Wholesale and storage	171		215		163	

## Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.6 - Received, decided, allowed, by land use type

Allowed <sup>1</sup>	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry	168	38%	189	43%	152	37%
Community, Education and Defence Services	125	41%	123	40%	140	43%
Housing and Residential Development	5,410	33%	5,541	32%	4,584	32%
Industry	41	33%	66	44%	60	40%
Leisure and Recreation	122	45%	148	46%	94	39%
Mineral Extraction	9	43%	6	50%	12	67%
Offices	176	43%	160	39%	114	38%
Shopping	507	44%	530	41%	423	38%
Transport and Utilities	446	52%	236	45%	256	52%
Wholesale and storage	76	44%	78	36%	60	37%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.7 - Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed, by procedure type

Major Dwellings <sup>1</sup>		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	Written Reps	670	526	376
	Hearings	424	366	209
	Inquiries	523	309	203
Decided	Written Reps	605	466	354
	Hearings	307	261	230
	Inquiries	333	311	161
Allowed <sup>2</sup>	Written Reps	206	112	114
	Hearings	92	81	75
	Inquiries	176	149	86
% allowed	Written Reps	34%	24%	32%
	Hearings	30%	31%	33%
	Inquiries	53%	48%	53%

<sup>1</sup> - number of dwellings proposed was 10 or more or the site area was at least 0.5 hectares

<sup>2</sup> - including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.7 - Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed, by procedure type

Minor Dwellings		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	Written Reps	5,934	5,624	4,344
	Hearings	1,382	1,394	616
	Inquiries	236	159	86
Decided	Written Reps	5,343	5,989	4,339
	Hearings	872	1,582	988
	Inquiries	186	177	87
Allowed <sup>2</sup>	Written Reps	1,443	1,497	1,081
	Hearings	305	538	375
	Inquiries	91	71	38
% allowed	Written Reps	27%	25%	25%
	Hearings	35%	34%	38%
	Inquiries	49%	40%	44%

<sup>1</sup> - number of dwellings proposed was 10 or more or the site area was at least 0.5 hectares

<sup>2</sup> - including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.8 - Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed by permission type (appeals)

Number of appeals relating to new dwellings		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	Outline	1,305	952	533
	All excluding Outline	7,877	7,338	5,259
	Total	9,182	8,290	5,792
Decided	Outline	1,165	1,259	604
	All excluding Outline	6,379	7,779	5,506
	Total	7,544	9,038	6,110
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	Outline	298	281	156
	All excluding Outline	2,009	2,276	1,619
	Total	2,307	2,557	1,775
Allowed %	Total	31%	28%	29%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Planning appeals, inc Householder Appeals Service

Table 1.9 - Dwellings: Received, decided, allowed by permission type (dwellings)




Number of dwellings		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	Outline	21,059	11,801	15,523
	All excluding Outline	63,253	78,741	37,738
	Total	84,312	90,542	53,261
Decided	Outline	20,533	27,129	10,288
	All excluding Outline	51,509	55,301	48,399
	Total	72,042	82,430	58,687
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	Outline	8,085	9,577	4,273
	All excluding Outline	23,836	18,885	17,198
	Total	31,921	28,462	21,471
Allowed %	Total	44%	35%	37%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

# Statistical Report: England - Enforcement appeals

Table 2.1 - Average time taken to decide appeals (weeks)

Cases decided by Inspectors	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations	26 weeks	24 weeks	23 weeks
Hearings	32 weeks	28 weeks	25 weeks
Inquiries	41 weeks	40 weeks	37 weeks



— - target to determine 80% of appeals

Note: The graphs above exclude 8 Written Reps and x 51 Inquiries which all took longer than 52 weeks to determine.

## Statistical Report: England - Enforcement appeals

Table 2.2 - Received, withdrawn, decided, outcome

		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received		3,958	3,888	3,478
Withdrawn		971	1,013	995
Decided		3,057	2,582	2,992
Outcome	Notice Upheld	1,194	974	1,213
	% Notice Upheld	39%	38%	41%
	Notice Varied	1,045	964	1,099
	% Notice Varied	34%	37%	37%
	Notice Quashed	818	644	680
	% Notice Quashed	27%	25%	23%

(Note: Upheld = Dismissed & Upheld. Varied = Varied & Upheld & varied & split. Quashed = Allowed - planning permission granted & Quashed)

Table 2.3 - Received, by procedure type

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations	2,215	2,199	2,184
Written Representations %	56%	57%	63%
Hearing	714	731	496
Hearing %	18%	19%	14%
Inquiry	1,029	958	798
Inquiry %	26%	25%	23%

## Statistical Report: England - Enforcement appeals

Table 2.4 - Decided, by procedure type

		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations	Decided	1,881	1,439	1,993
	Upheld	875	685	987
	% upheld	47%	48%	50%
	Varied	560	430	662
	% varied	30%	30%	33%
	Quashed	446	324	344
	% quashed	24%	23%	17%
Hearing	Decided	540	515	457
	Upheld	127	128	107
	% upheld	24%	25%	23%
	Varied	224	231	208
	% varied	41%	45%	46%
	Quashed	189	156	142
	% quashed	35%	30%	31%
Inquiry	Decided	636	628	542
	Upheld	192	161	119
	% upheld	30%	26%	22%
	Varied	261	303	229
	% varied	41%	48%	42%
	Quashed	183	164	194
	% quashed	29%	26%	36%

## Statistical Report: England - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

Table 3.1 - Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	1,032	999	832
Withdrawn	102	96	75
Decided	816	950	753
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	280	306	211
Allowed %	34%	32%	28%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

## Statistical Report: England - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement

Table 3.2 - Received, withdrawn, decided, Notice upheld

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	169	170	160
Withdrawn	49	29	26
Decided	129	123	149
Notice Upheld	72	54	78
Notice Upheld %	56%	44%	52%

## Statistical Report: England - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

Table 3.3 - Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	322	403	433
Withdrawn	122	139	128
Decided	219	193	324
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	91	71	122
Allowed %	42%	37%	38%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

## Advertisement appeals

Table 3.4 - Received, withdrawn, decided, allowed

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	1,225	1,200	742
Withdrawn	89	43	42
Decided	1,007	1,133	755
Allowed <sup>1</sup>	270	279	102
Allowed %	27%	25%	14%

<sup>1</sup> - including split decisions

## Statistical Report: England - Rights of Way Orders

Table 3.5 - Submitted, decided, confirmed

<b>Public Path Orders</b>	<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
Orders submitted	110	158	147
Decisions *	129	103	147
Confirmed decisions	100	76	118

\* includes rejected orders

<b>Definitive Map Orders</b>	<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
Orders submitted	159	147	153
Decisions *	198	133	125
Confirmed decisions	118	74	85

\* includes rejected orders

<b>Schedule 14 cases</b>	<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
Cases reported on by Inspectors	61	76	30

## Statistical Report: England - Land Compensation appeals

Table 3.6 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	<b>2007/08</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
Received	10	7	10
Withdrawn	4	2	3
Decided	1	6	6

## Statistical Report: England - Purchase Notice appeals

Table 3.7 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	5	6	9
Withdrawn	3	1	3
Decided	2	4	5

---

## Statistical Report: England - Environmental appeals

Table 3.8 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	99	109	50
Withdrawn	194	262	331
Decided	45	18	27

---

## Statistical Report: England - High Hedges appeals

Table 3.9 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	180	142	119
Withdrawn	28	13	16
Decided	199	105	128

---

## Statistical Report: England - Tree Preservation appeals

Table 3.10 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received		590	582
Withdrawn		85	88
Decided		650	572

NB. The Inspectorate did not process TPOs prior to April 2008.

---

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11a - DPD Programme since 2004

	Published but not yet submitted	Reports issued: Sound	Reports issued: Unsound	Withdrawn/ to be withdrawn	Submitted/In progress/ Pending	Total
Core strategy	19	61	8	19	33	140
Site specific policies/allocations	10	9	1	1	8	29
Area Action Plan	9	44	1	6	11	71
Development Control policies	4	9	1	0	4	18
Minerals/Waste inc Core Strategies	8	19	0	14	4	45
Other	1	10	1	1	1	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>317</b>

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Ashford - Tenterden & Rural Sites	22/02/2010		
Ashford - Town Centre AAP	13/07/2009	08/02/2010	Sound
Aylesbury Vale - Core Strategy	30/10/2009		
Barking and Dagenham - Borough Wide Development Control Policies	12/06/2009		
Barking and Dagenham - Core Strategy	12/06/2009	22/02/2010	Sound
Barking and Dagenham - Site Specific Allocations	02/10/2009		
Barking and Dagenham - Town Centre AAP	02/10/2009		
Barnet - Colindale AAP	19/08/2009	18/01/2010	Sound
Barrow-In-Furness - Barrow Port AAP	06/08/2009		
Brent - Core Strategy (second)	30/09/2009	16/04/2010	Sound
Bristol - Core Strategy	15/03/2010		
Bromley - Town Centre AAP	11/11/2009		

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Camden - Core Strategy	28/01/2010		
Camden - Development Policies	28/01/2010		
Canterbury - Herne Bay AAP	13/08/2009	04/02/2010	Sound
Castle Point - Core Strategy	01/03/2010		
Colchester - Development Policies	30/11/2009		
Colchester - Site Allocations	30/11/2009		
Coventry - Core Strategy	30/06/2009		
Dover - Core Strategy	24/06/2009	21/01/2010	Sound
Dudley - Brierley Hill AAP	26/02/2010		
Eden - Core Strategy	30/04/2009	22/03/2010	Sound
Elmbridge - Core Strategy	31/03/2010		
Enfield - Core Strategy	15/03/2010		

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Forest Heath -Core Strategy	28/08/2009	13/04/2010	Sound
Hackney - Core Strategy	25/02/2010		
Hambleton - Site Allocations	18/12/2009		
Ipswich - Core Strategy	25/03/2010		
Isle of Wight - Core Strategy #2	29/05/2009		Withdrawn
Joint Black Country - Core Strategy	26/02/2010		
Joint Greater Norwich - Core Strategy	05/03/2010		
Kensington and Chelsea - Core Strategy	19/03/2010		
Kingston Upon Hull - City Centre AAP	24/11/2009		
Kingston Upon Hull - Newington & St Andrews AAP	03/06/2009	18/01/2010	Sound
Lake District NPA - Core Strategy	14/01/2010		
Lambeth - Core Strategy	26/03/2010		

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Leicester City - Core Strategy	02/12/2009		
Mid Devon - Allocations & Infrastructure	21/12/2009		
North Norfolk - CS Single Policy Review	05/03/2010		
North Norfolk - Site Allocations	05/03/2010		
Northamptonshire CC - Locations for Minerals Development	31/03/2010		
Northamptonshire CC - Locations for Waste Development	31/03/2010		
Norwich - Northern Norwich City Centre AAP	21/07/2009	18/01/2010	Sound
Oadby & Wigston - Core Strategy	25/01/2010		
Plymouth - City Centre/University AAP	30/10/2009	15/03/2010	Sound
Rochford - Core Strategy	14/01/2010		
Rugby BC - Core Strategy	08/01/2010		
Rutland - Minerals Core Strategy & DC Policies	18/01/2010		

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Slough - Site Allocations	19/02/2010		
South Hams - Development Control Core Policies	13/11/2009		
South Kesteven - Core Strategy	21/08/2009		
South Lakeland - Core Strategy	26/11/2009		
South Norfolk - Gypsy & Travellers	12/02/2010		
South Tyneside - Central Jarrow AAP	30/04/2009		
South Wiltshire - Core Strategy	16/11/2009		
Southwark - Aylesbury AAP	15/05/2009	12/11/2009	Sound
Southwark - Canada Water AAP	26/03/2010		
Southwark - Core Strategy	26/03/2010		
St Edmundsbury - Core Strategy	08/01/2010		
Stockton-on-Tees - Core Strategy	27/05/2009	03/02/2010	Sound

## Statistical Report: England - Development Plan Documents

Table 3.11b - Submitted, withdrawn, reports issued

2009/10: Local Planning Authority & Plan Title	Date submitted	Date final report issued	Outcome (Sound/Unsound/Withdrawn)
Suffolk CC - Waste Core Strategy	19/03/2010		
Telford & Wrekin - Central Telford AAP	04/09/2009		
Thanet - Cliftonville	19/10/2009	01/02/2010	Sound
Tonbridge & Malling - Managing Development & the Environment	31/07/2009	03/02/2010	Sound
Tower Hamlets - Core Strategy	18/12/2009		
Tunbridge Wells - Core Strategy	12/08/2009	06/04/2010	Sound
Wakefield - Waste	30/04/2009	12/10/2009	Sound

## Statistical Report: England - Transport & associated appeals

Table 3.12 - Received and reports issued

Order Type		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received (all types)		23	29	42
Reports issued	Harbour Revision Order	1	1	3
	Local authority side road and compulsory purchase orders, stopping up of highways	14	13	15
	Transport & Works Act	3	4	4
	Trunk road orders	2	4	3
	Cycle Track/Footpaths	0	2	0
	Cattle Grids	1	0	0
	Toll Review Orders	0	1	4
	Local Authority TRO's	0	0	1
	BERR/DECC Schemes (energy and climate)	0	0	1
	S336(3) TCPA	0	0	1
Total	21	25	32	

## Statistical Report: England - Commons related casework

Table 3.13 - Received, withdrawn, decided

Received	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Commons Act 2006: Section 16		9	9
Commons Act 2006: Section 38		44	52
Notices under Exemptions Order (SI 2587/2007)		9	0
Commons Act 2006: Part 1		0	7
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 194		0	0
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 193 Orders of limitations		0	0
Inclosure Act 1845: Section 147		0	0
Acquisition of Land Act 1981: Section 19 and Schedule 1		5	4
National Trust Act 1971: Section 23		3	1
Greater London Parks & Open Spaces Order 1967		9	3
Approval of Commons Levy Rates		5	0
Total		84	76

## Statistical Report: England - Commons related casework

Table 3.13 - Received, withdrawn, decided

Withdrawn	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Commons Act 2006: Section 16		6	0
Commons Act 2006: Section 38		6	5
Notices under Exemptions Order (SI 2587/2007)		0	0
Commons Act 2006: Part 1		0	0
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 194		3	4
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 193 Orders of limitations		0	0
Inclosure Act 1845: Section 147		0	0
Acquisition of Land Act 1981: Section 19 and Schedule 1		0	2
National Trust Act 1971: Section 23		0	0
Greater London Parks & Open Spaces Order 1967		0	1
Approval of Commons Levy Rates		0	0
Total		15	12

## Statistical Report: England - Commons related casework

Table 3.13 - Received, withdrawn, decided

Decided	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Commons Act 2006: Section 16		2	5
Commons Act 2006: Section 38		28	40
Notices under Exemptions Order (SI 2587/2007)		0	0
Commons Act 2006: Part 1		0	0
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 194		15	1
Law of Property Act 1925: Section 193 Orders of limitations		0	0
Inclosure Act 1845: Section 147		4	2
Acquisition of Land Act 1981: Section 19 and Schedule 1		1	2
National Trust Act 1971: Section 23		4	3
Greater London Parks & Open Spaces Order 1967		0	8
Approval of Commons Levy Rates		3	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>65</b>

NB. The Inspectorate did not process Commons related casework for a full financial year prior to April 2008.

# Statistical Report: England - Wildlife & Countryside Act: Definitive Map Modification Orders

Table 3.14 - Received, withdrawn, decided

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Received	162	141	153
Withdrawn	0	0	0
Decided	144	129	118

Table 3.15 - Decided, by procedure

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Written Representations	43	50	40
Hearings	6	12	14
Inquiries	96	67	65

Table 3.16 - Decided, by outcome

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Confirmed (with or without modifications)	111	103	85
Not confirmed	33	26	33

## Statistical Report: England - Secretary of State casework

Table 3.17 - Called in Planning applications

	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Planning applications Called In <sup>1</sup>	26		74		22	
Withdrawn	6		5		5	
Inspector reports issued to CLG (Applications covered by those reports)	24	36	18	24	20	42
Reports submitted to CLG by agreed date					18	90%
CLG decisions issued (Applications covered by those decisions)					20	37
Applications decided in accord with Inspector recommendation					35	95%

Note A: The number of called in planning applications received within the financial year will not correspond to the numbers offered or opened in target or issued to CLG.

Note B: The figures for CLG decisions issued and whether they accorded with the Inspector's recommendation, relate to the original decision issued by the Secretary of State and does not take into account any revised decision arising from subsequent High Court challenge.

Note C: The figures for Reports submitted to CLG by the agreed date are measured against any revised date that may have been agreed due to unforeseen circumstances such as Inspector illness.

<sup>1</sup> Call-ins are planning applications that the Secretary of State (for Communities and Local Government) has decided to determine himself, rather than a local planning authority, under the powers contained in Section 77 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Additionally, some listed building/conservation area consent applications are called in under the powers contained in Section 12 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

## Statistical Report: England - Secretary of State casework

Table 3.18 - Recovered Planning appeals

	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Received	118		83		59	
Withdrawn	50		34		19	
Inspector reports issued to CLG / Appeals covered by those reports	76	111	51	74	40	84
Reports submitted to CLG by agreed date					36	90%
CLG decisions issued / Appeals covered by those decisions					44	90
Appeals decided in accord with Inspector recommendation					87	97%

Note A: The number of recovered appeals received within the financial year will not correspond to the numbers offered or opened in target or issued to CLG.

Note B: The figures for CLG decisions issued and whether they accorded with the Inspector's recommendation, relate to the original decision issued by the Secretary of State and does not take into account any revised decision arising from subsequent High Court challenge.

Note C: The figures for Reports submitted to CLG by the agreed date are measured against any revised date that may have been agreed due to unforeseen circumstances such as Inspector illness.

## Statistical Report: England - Secretary of State casework

Table 3.19 - Recovered Enforcement appeals

	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
Received	14		21		1	
Withdrawn	1		2		7	
Inspector reports issued to CLG / Appeals covered by those reports	4	11	1	1	1	1
Reports submitted to CLG by agreed date					1	100%
CLG decisions issued / Appeals covered by those decisions					1	1
Appeals decided in accord with Inspector recommendation					1	100%

Note A: The number of recovered appeals received within the financial year will not correspond to the numbers offered or opened in target or issued to CLG.

Note B: The figures for CLG decisions issued and whether they accorded with the Inspector's recommendation, relate to the original decision issued by the Secretary of State and does not take into account any revised decision arising from subsequent High Court challenge.

Note C: The figures for Reports submitted to CLG by the agreed date are measured against any revised date that may have been agreed due to unforeseen circumstances such as Inspector illness.

## Statistical Report: England - Secretary of State casework

Table 3.20 - Call Ins and Recovered appeals: Hearing and Inquiry dates

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
<b>Inquiries and Hearings: Event dates offered in target</b>			
Called In Planning Applications	17	22	25
Recovered Planning Appeals	67	58	32
Recovered Enforcement Appeals	0	2	1
All Secretary of State casework	84	82	58
<b>Inquiries and Hearings: Events opened in target</b>			
Called In Planning Applications	8	19	24
Recovered Planning Appeals	32	22	23
Recovered Enforcement Appeals	0	2	1
All Secretary of State casework	40	43	48

## Statistical Report: England - Costs applications

Table 4.1 - Decisions, awards

Inspector cases		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Decisions		1,125	1,458	1,606
Awards	To appellant	337	450	489
	To LPA	83	132	120
	To other party	7	5	4
	Total	427	587	613

Secretary of State cases		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Decisions		185	236	292
Awards	To appellant	41	66	84
	To LPA	69	68	86
	To other party	15	14	4
	Total	125	148	174

## Statistical Report: England and Wales - Quality

Table 4.2 - Decisions challenged, results, propensity to challenge

High Court Challenges		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Decisions challenged	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	85	97	61
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	62	52	68
	Other <sup>2</sup>	15	20	19
	Total	162	169	148
Decisions quashed	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	16	26	25
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	13	11	23
	Other <sup>2</sup>	1	13	2
	Total	30	50	50
Decisions quashed as percentage of decisions challenged	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	19%	27%	41%
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	21%	21%	34%
	Other <sup>2</sup>	7%	65%	11%
	Total	19%	30%	34%
Propensity to challenge (England)	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	235	217	285
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	49	50	44

<sup>1</sup> England

<sup>2</sup> Other England casework, and all Wales casework

## Statistical Report: England and Wales - Quality

Table 4.3 - Complaints received, outcome

Complaints	Complaints	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Complaints received	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	1,615	1,738	1,896
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	267	255	379
	Other <sup>2</sup>	218	271	348
	Total	2,100	2,264	2,623
Justified complaints	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	180	236	226
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	33	36	39
	Other <sup>2</sup>	19	27	26
	Total	232	299	291
Justified complaints as percentage of complaints received	Planning appeals <sup>1</sup> (inc HAS from 09/10)	11.15%	13.58%	11.92%
	Enforcement appeals <sup>1</sup>	12.36%	14.12%	10.29%
	Other <sup>2</sup>	8.72%	9.96%	7.47%
	Total	11.05%	13.21%	11.09%

<sup>1</sup> England

<sup>2</sup> Other England casework, and all Wales casework

# Statistical Report: England - Quality

Table 4.4 - Decisions corrected

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Requests received	82	127	156
Correction Notices issued	54	101	116
Revised errors <sup>1</sup>	207	242	225
Performance based on revised errors	99.3%	99.2%	99.2%

<sup>1</sup> Total substantiated complaints plus quashed decisions from 'Performance against targets' table in this document, less 'Correction Notices issued' above

---

# Statistical Report: England

## 5.1 Enforcement and Planning appeals, percentage allowed and dismissed by Inspector (England)

### Introduction

1. The tables below show the percentage of s78 planning and s174 enforcement appeals allowed and dismissed by each Inspector. Inspectors who dealt with at least one case in the periods shown are included in the tables below. Cases where the Inspector reported to the Secretary of State are not included.
2. This report presents data for England. Some Inspectors work in both England and Wales and, if that is the case, this report has been compiled with reference to their English cases only.
3. We deal with our casework following the principles of fairness, openness and impartiality. Our decisions take account of:
  - published national, regional and local policies,
  - relevant planning issues and material considerations; and
  - the views of all the parties.
4. Inspectors are bound by the Inspectors' Code of Conduct, which can be found at [http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/inquiries/conduct\\_inspector/conduct\\_inspectors\\_code.htm](http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/inquiries/conduct_inspector/conduct_inspectors_code.htm)
5. Please note that this data is compiled from individual appeal records, and the percentage will be impacted by a number of factors, including those listed

### **Important information:**

For the reasons below, the tables must not be treated as any sort of 'league table'.

The Planning Inspectorate will not entertain a challenge to the appointment of any Inspector on the basis of this data.

- All cases are considered on their individual merits, and on the basis of the evidence submitted.
- Targets are not set for the percentage of allowed and dismissed cases.
- Inspectors do not select their own casework, and all work on a variety of case types.
- Casework is allocated to Inspectors on the best balance of availability, geography, casework level and expertise. This may produce apparent patterns of outcome that are in fact artefacts of the way work is allocated and do not provide evidence as to an Inspector's fitness for or approach to a particular case.
- Inspectors handle different numbers of cases in a year – some dealing with as few as one which would give a result of 100% allowed or 100% dismissed. All instances in the tables below where the result is in whole percentage points are likely to be a result of a very small number of cases.
- Inspectors are rigorously trained to ensure that they develop and maintain the skills necessary to undertake the work that they are given. These skills will influence the type of cases they deal with.
- Some appeals are linked together as they relate to the same or similar development on the same site – where this is the case it is likely that they will have a similar outcome, but each has been treated separately for the production of the percentages.
- The Inspectorate has an exacting Ministerial target which requires all casework to be 99% free from justified complaint or legal challenge. Senior managers regularly check all Inspectors work.

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1a - Enforcement appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Ammoun VF	34	17.65%	82.35%	37	37.84%	62.16%	26	42.31%	57.69%
Bagot BD	1	0.00%	100.00%	0	-	-	3	0.00%	100.00%
Bailey GP	29	31.03%	68.97%	41	36.59%	63.41%	35	34.29%	65.71%
Baldock DG	52	23.08%	76.92%	29	27.59%	72.41%	20	15.00%	85.00%
Barnett B	55	47.27%	52.73%	35	51.43%	48.57%	49	40.82%	59.18%
Belcher T	-	-	-	48	22.92%	77.08%	73	35.62%	64.38%
Berkeley S	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%
Bingham AJ	22	31.82%	68.18%	30	13.33%	86.67%	47	17.02%	82.98%
Bourne FJB	51	31.37%	68.63%	44	36.36%	63.64%	37	13.51%	86.49%
Bradley D	24	29.17%	70.83%	25	12.00%	88.00%	33	36.36%	63.64%
Bragg AK	3	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Braithwaite JE	41	29.27%	70.73%	44	29.55%	70.45%	35	20.00%	80.00%
Brier DH	28	21.43%	78.57%	25	24.00%	76.00%	24	12.50%	87.50%
Brown S	39	23.08%	76.92%	40	25.00%	75.00%	35	20.00%	80.00%
Burke PJ	23	26.09%	73.91%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burley PF	19	31.58%	68.42%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burrows N	53	26.42%	73.58%	56	14.29%	85.71%	53	11.32%	88.68%
Campbell BM	61	32.79%	67.21%	45	48.89%	51.11%	29	20.69%	79.31%
Champion MA	38	7.89%	92.11%	69	27.54%	72.46%	87	24.14%	75.86%
Cherington FM	26	15.38%	84.62%	21	14.29%	85.71%	17	17.65%	82.35%
Clews R	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	33.33%	66.67%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1a - Enforcement appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Cochrane CI	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%
Cook B	47	21.28%	78.72%	57	17.54%	82.46%	81	45.68%	54.32%
Craig CJ	19	26.32%	73.68%	21	23.81%	76.19%	-	-	-
Croft MJ	11	18.18%	81.82%	19	47.37%	52.63%	23	17.39%	82.61%
Currie IW	52	42.31%	57.69%	23	8.70%	91.30%	27	11.11%	88.89%
Dale AJ	34	47.06%	52.94%	37	16.22%	83.78%	35	20.00%	80.00%
Donaldson DN	77	41.56%	58.44%	18	55.56%	44.44%	-	-	-
Drake LM	66	25.76%	74.24%	39	28.21%	71.79%	18	44.44%	55.56%
Drew P	53	20.75%	79.25%	43	30.23%	69.77%	37	27.03%	72.97%
Dudley GC	36	22.22%	77.78%	29	34.48%	65.52%	-	-	-
Dyer DR	67	37.31%	62.69%	54	38.89%	61.11%	43	37.21%	62.79%
Evans RO	24	12.50%	87.50%	28	32.14%	67.86%	42	16.67%	83.33%
Farrimond F	27	33.33%	66.67%	22	18.18%	81.82%	21	0.00%	100.00%
Fox SA	40	50.00%	50.00%	19	26.32%	73.68%	23	65.22%	34.78%
Freeman NP	38	34.21%	65.79%	103	60.19%	39.81%	122	9.02%	90.98%
Fussey A	14	35.71%	64.29%	40	7.50%	92.50%	38	42.11%	57.89%
Ghafoor A	-	-	-	-	-	-	62	11.29%	88.71%
Grainger P	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	18.60%	81.40%
Griffiths JT	3	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hainsworth DA	52	51.92%	48.08%	33	45.45%	54.55%	52	46.15%	53.85%
Harrison D	54	20.37%	79.63%	40	12.50%	87.50%	41	17.07%	82.93%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1a - Enforcement appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Hoult C	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	23.08%	76.92%
Hurley MC	26	38.46%	61.54%	29	31.03%	68.97%	36	33.33%	66.67%
Jarratt P	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	17.31%	82.69%
Jarvis C	51	27.45%	72.55%	39	10.26%	89.74%	42	7.14%	92.86%
Johnson C	16	12.50%	87.50%	5	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Joyce MJ	43	51.16%	48.84%	36	30.56%	69.44%	32	12.50%	87.50%
Kirby AD	28	17.86%	82.14%	7	14.29%	85.71%	13	61.54%	38.46%
Kirkbride C	-	-	-	-	-	-	49	30.61%	69.39%
Langton A	11	27.27%	72.73%	1	100.00%	0.00%	15	26.67%	73.33%
Lewis D	65	24.62%	75.38%	44	22.73%	77.27%	48	22.92%	77.08%
Lloyd I	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	25.00%	75.00%
Mapson G	46	26.09%	73.91%	29	27.59%	72.41%	26	7.69%	92.31%
McFarlane G	45	6.67%	93.33%	49	10.20%	89.80%	41	7.32%	92.68%
McKay CW	48	18.75%	81.25%	31	25.81%	74.19%	37	29.73%	70.27%
Mead A	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	20.00%	80.00%
Morden DE	51	13.73%	86.27%	39	25.64%	74.36%	45	28.89%	71.11%
Morgan SA	-	-	-	22	18.18%	81.82%	40	25.00%	75.00%
Morris PV	43	18.60%	81.40%	26	30.77%	69.23%	25	8.00%	92.00%
Moxon KP	38	31.58%	68.42%	1	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
Muers RL	25	20.00%	80.00%	1	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Murray D	-	-	-	-	-	-	69	27.54%	72.46%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1a - Enforcement appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Murray J	-	-	-	42	28.57%	71.43%	53	16.98%	83.02%
Nield CM	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%
Norman PS	7	14.29%	85.71%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parkhill ECA	23	30.43%	69.57%	4	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Peerless KJ	47	48.94%	51.06%	49	42.86%	57.14%	48	43.75%	56.25%
Perrins R	69	36.23%	63.77%	50	32.00%	68.00%	63	33.33%	66.67%
Pinner DC	74	47.30%	52.70%	40	55.00%	45.00%	48	39.58%	60.42%
Priestley RW	35	37.14%	62.86%	23	47.83%	52.17%	25	36.00%	64.00%
Pybus JB	36	27.78%	72.22%	34	11.76%	88.24%	41	19.51%	80.49%
Reid SM	25	24.00%	76.00%	27	29.63%	70.37%	25	48.00%	52.00%
Roberts N	29	17.24%	82.76%	10	10.00%	90.00%	9	33.33%	66.67%
Rogers BS	-	-	-	19	36.84%	63.16%	28	32.14%	67.86%
Rusdale D	46	28.26%	71.74%	22	31.82%	68.18%	6	33.33%	66.67%
Russon HWJ	23	21.74%	78.26%	31	38.71%	61.29%	22	18.18%	81.82%
Self GF	30	13.33%	86.67%	31	16.13%	83.87%	13	30.77%	69.23%
Sexton RA	52	36.54%	63.46%	32	31.25%	68.75%	35	31.43%	68.57%
Sherratt C	-	-	-	36	27.78%	72.22%	50	38.00%	62.00%
Sims BJ	13	30.77%	69.23%	1	100.00%	0.00%	3	33.33%	66.67%
Slack S	28	32.14%	67.86%	11	27.27%	72.73%	22	31.82%	68.18%
Stewart GR	33	27.27%	72.73%	8	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Stiles JV	37	21.62%	78.38%	42	23.81%	76.19%	24	0.00%	100.00%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1a - Enforcement appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Street AJJ	11	18.18%	81.82%	1	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Symons G	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	32.50%	67.50%
Tamplin R	17	0.00%	100.00%	20	10.00%	90.00%	6	16.67%	83.33%
Taylor PA	2	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thew DJ	67	14.93%	85.07%	58	22.41%	77.59%	72	19.44%	80.56%
Thompson CA	12	25.00%	75.00%	22	59.09%	40.91%	17	23.53%	76.47%
Trewick CF	41	12.20%	87.80%	20	40.00%	60.00%	-	-	-
Turner IK	26	34.62%	65.38%	33	18.18%	81.82%	28	28.57%	71.43%
Turner JM	81	46.91%	53.09%	4	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-
Upward AB	48	35.42%	64.58%	36	19.44%	80.56%	18	11.11%	88.89%
Waldron JD	20	25.00%	75.00%	29	27.59%	72.41%	32	18.75%	81.25%
Watson RE	31	54.84%	45.16%	45	31.11%	68.89%	36	30.56%	69.44%
Whalley JLS	30	33.33%	66.67%	24	12.50%	87.50%	37	21.62%	78.38%
Wharton AJ	5	0.00%	100.00%	13	7.69%	92.31%	20	0.00%	100.00%
Whitehouse CFB	48	31.25%	68.75%	47	25.53%	74.47%	48	35.42%	64.58%
Wilkinson BC	22	22.73%	77.27%	17	35.29%	64.71%	29	27.59%	72.41%
Williams KL	38	21.05%	78.95%	39	35.90%	64.10%	35	28.57%	71.43%
Woolnough A	58	41.38%	58.62%	53	33.96%	66.04%	44	43.18%	56.82%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Aldous MF	84	38.10%	61.90%	84	39.29%	60.71%	61	34.43%	65.57%
Allan JD	-	-	-	4	75.00%	25.00%	60	33.33%	66.67%
Ammoun VF	5	20.00%	80.00%	10	20.00%	80.00%	6	16.67%	83.33%
Amos SRB	37	24.32%	75.68%	79	27.85%	72.15%	79	31.65%	68.35%
Andrews MR	97	59.79%	40.21%	107	45.79%	54.21%	91	56.04%	43.96%
Anscombe C	106	45.28%	54.72%	115	46.09%	53.91%	92	39.13%	60.87%
Anstey CJ	58	37.93%	62.07%	84	32.14%	67.86%	30	30.00%	70.00%
Armstrong J	38	36.84%	63.16%	37	29.73%	70.27%	29	37.93%	62.07%
Arrowsmith G	43	37.21%	62.79%	51	35.29%	64.71%	46	17.39%	82.61%
Asher DAJ	54	31.48%	68.52%	36	13.89%	86.11%	42	23.81%	76.19%
Asquith PJ	44	77.27%	22.73%	70	60.00%	40.00%	49	55.10%	44.90%
Bagot BD	57	28.07%	71.93%	60	26.67%	73.33%	31	35.48%	64.52%
Bailey GP	3	33.33%	66.67%	13	30.77%	69.23%	12	50.00%	50.00%
Baird SRG	49	57.14%	42.86%	63	41.27%	58.73%	13	53.85%	46.15%
Baker KL	8	75.00%	25.00%	38	15.79%	84.21%	59	32.20%	67.80%
Baker RH	8	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balchin M	90	32.22%	67.78%	71	18.31%	81.69%	47	21.28%	78.72%
Baldock DG	16	62.50%	37.50%	12	41.67%	58.33%	5	60.00%	40.00%
Ball CJ	58	18.97%	81.03%	74	17.57%	82.43%	13	30.77%	69.23%
Ball T	96	29.17%	70.83%	59	32.20%	67.80%	36	36.11%	63.89%
Barker RM	32	43.75%	56.25%	19	26.32%	73.68%	7	42.86%	57.14%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Barnes D	-	-	-	4	0.00%	100.00%	116	29.31%	70.69%
Barnett B	23	65.22%	34.78%	21	57.14%	42.86%	8	50.00%	50.00%
Barton KD	49	34.69%	65.31%	48	39.58%	60.42%	33	45.45%	54.55%
Barton K	56	35.71%	64.29%	39	28.21%	71.79%	33	51.52%	48.48%
Barton P	120	38.33%	61.67%	51	31.37%	68.63%	43	46.51%	53.49%
Beasley PI	25	56.00%	44.00%	41	41.46%	58.54%	1	100.00%	0.00%
Belcher T	18	38.89%	61.11%	46	34.78%	65.22%	8	25.00%	75.00%
Benson E	86	45.35%	54.65%	46	43.48%	56.52%	64	40.63%	59.38%
Bentham-Hill P	34	38.24%	61.76%	47	40.43%	59.57%	24	25.00%	75.00%
Berkeley S	58	43.10%	56.90%	86	37.21%	62.79%	57	38.60%	61.40%
Bingham AJ	33	51.52%	48.48%	17	17.65%	82.35%	9	44.44%	55.56%
Bird PSF	52	28.85%	71.15%	40	25.00%	75.00%	42	26.19%	73.81%
Blackley AB	23	34.78%	65.22%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blundel C	-	-	-	5	0.00%	100.00%	62	27.42%	72.58%
Bore J	54	38.89%	61.11%	5	40.00%	60.00%	-	-	-
Bourne FJB	14	35.71%	64.29%	17	29.41%	70.59%	5	0.00%	100.00%
Bowden C	46	32.61%	67.39%	34	29.41%	70.59%	30	23.33%	76.67%
Boyd R	38	34.21%	65.79%	38	39.47%	60.53%	36	22.22%	77.78%
Boyland AR	17	29.41%	70.59%	74	40.54%	59.46%	24	54.17%	45.83%
Bradbeer RW	14	35.71%	64.29%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bradley D	11	36.36%	63.64%	5	40.00%	60.00%	6	0.00%	100.00%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Braithwaite JE	14	50.00%	50.00%	18	44.44%	55.56%	10	40.00%	60.00%
Brannan PM	56	26.79%	73.21%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brier DH	8	50.00%	50.00%	7	14.29%	85.71%	6	0.00%	100.00%
Brookes M	42	28.57%	71.43%	50	34.00%	66.00%	37	35.14%	64.86%
Brooks D	-	-	-	7	42.86%	57.14%	109	38.53%	61.47%
Brooks RP	7	57.14%	42.86%	63	34.92%	65.08%	38	34.21%	65.79%
Brown RP	46	17.39%	82.61%	60	20.00%	80.00%	42	30.95%	69.05%
Brown S	15	33.33%	66.67%	16	25.00%	75.00%	9	33.33%	66.67%
Broyd IJ	-	-	-	56	16.07%	83.93%	34	5.88%	94.12%
Burden PR	11	36.36%	63.64%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burden WJ	-	-	-	14	42.86%	57.14%	17	35.29%	64.71%
Burke PJ	4	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burley PF	7	57.14%	42.86%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burrows DL	32	34.38%	65.63%	4	0.00%	100.00%	45	37.78%	62.22%
Burrows N	36	25.00%	75.00%	36	27.78%	72.22%	21	9.52%	90.48%
Bushby D	18	55.56%	44.44%	29	51.72%	48.28%	-	-	-
Bussey SC	20	45.00%	55.00%	2	50.00%	50.00%	10	60.00%	40.00%
Campbell BM	10	30.00%	70.00%	6	33.33%	66.67%	8	50.00%	50.00%
Champion MA	99	24.24%	75.76%	58	44.83%	55.17%	22	40.91%	59.09%
Chance J	85	16.47%	83.53%	95	15.79%	84.21%	69	18.84%	81.16%
Chase J	54	35.19%	64.81%	51	35.29%	64.71%	35	37.14%	62.86%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Checkley CJ	107	34.58%	65.42%	100	39.00%	61.00%	86	27.91%	72.09%
Cheesley JL	78	11.54%	88.46%	126	12.70%	87.30%	101	17.82%	82.18%
Cherington FM	9	44.44%	55.56%	7	0.00%	100.00%	8	50.00%	50.00%
Chivers SV	1	0.00%	100.00%	68	33.82%	66.18%	49	48.98%	51.02%
Clack A	72	31.94%	68.06%	82	35.37%	64.63%	70	40.00%	60.00%
Clark J	40	32.50%	67.50%	21	28.57%	71.43%	37	27.03%	72.97%
Clark P	88	51.14%	48.86%	97	52.58%	47.42%	48	56.25%	43.75%
Clegg R	55	30.91%	69.09%	74	39.19%	60.81%	37	54.05%	45.95%
Clews R	5	20.00%	80.00%	11	36.36%	63.64%	11	54.55%	45.45%
Cochrane CI	5	60.00%	40.00%	3	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
Cockshaw CD	44	11.36%	88.64%	34	38.24%	61.76%	23	17.39%	82.61%
Coffey L	42	33.33%	66.67%	40	37.50%	62.50%	41	39.02%	60.98%
Cohen J	102	19.61%	80.39%	79	26.58%	73.42%	65	30.77%	69.23%
Colburn JR	32	43.75%	56.25%	36	30.56%	69.44%	28	46.43%	53.57%
Colebourne S	53	39.62%	60.38%	57	38.60%	61.40%	15	26.67%	73.33%
Cook B	27	22.22%	77.78%	19	31.58%	68.42%	12	25.00%	75.00%
Cookson T	42	23.81%	76.19%	29	24.14%	75.86%	21	14.29%	85.71%
Coop LG	87	47.13%	52.87%	74	40.54%	59.46%	40	45.00%	55.00%
Craig CJ	5	40.00%	60.00%	10	10.00%	90.00%	-	-	-
Cramond JD	35	14.29%	85.71%	64	29.69%	70.31%	108	32.41%	67.59%
Croft MJ	21	42.86%	57.14%	13	61.54%	38.46%	3	33.33%	66.67%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Crookes PJA	68	50.00%	50.00%	33	42.42%	57.58%	38	60.53%	39.47%
Crosby L	63	39.68%	60.32%	84	36.90%	63.10%	80	27.50%	72.50%
Crumley V	67	43.28%	56.72%	76	18.42%	81.58%	54	29.63%	70.37%
Crysell P	91	23.08%	76.92%	65	26.15%	73.85%	30	33.33%	66.67%
Cullingford DR	34	23.53%	76.47%	70	38.57%	61.43%	57	22.81%	77.19%
Cundale G	43	30.23%	69.77%	52	26.92%	73.08%	9	33.33%	66.67%
Cunningham WC	61	21.31%	78.69%	74	27.03%	72.97%	33	18.18%	81.82%
Currie IW	18	16.67%	83.33%	7	28.57%	71.43%	9	33.33%	66.67%
Dakeyne M	52	48.08%	51.92%	93	38.71%	61.29%	77	31.17%	68.83%
Dale AJ	49	42.86%	57.14%	24	58.33%	41.67%	9	22.22%	77.78%
Davies PA	46	39.13%	60.87%	10	20.00%	80.00%	-	-	-
Davies PF	53	32.08%	67.92%	47	46.81%	53.19%	1	100.00%	0.00%
Davison AJ	35	48.57%	51.43%	48	35.42%	64.58%	24	20.83%	79.17%
Davison EC	4	25.00%	75.00%	5	60.00%	40.00%	21	19.05%	80.95%
Deakin JS	62	35.48%	64.52%	59	37.29%	62.71%	11	45.45%	54.55%
Dean RG	50	44.00%	56.00%	46	43.48%	56.52%	37	35.14%	64.86%
Deane G	-	-	-	9	11.11%	88.89%	67	16.42%	83.58%
Dignan P	101	27.72%	72.28%	50	30.00%	70.00%	28	35.71%	64.29%
Dobsen PE	9	77.78%	22.22%	66	31.82%	68.18%	59	49.15%	50.85%
Dodd B	14	35.71%	64.29%	32	18.75%	81.25%	15	46.67%	53.33%
Donaldson DN	17	41.18%	58.82%	1	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Down KE	41	39.02%	60.98%	52	38.46%	61.54%	52	36.54%	63.46%
Downes C	45	48.89%	51.11%	16	18.75%	81.25%	21	38.10%	61.90%
Drake LM	13	23.08%	76.92%	12	75.00%	25.00%	2	50.00%	50.00%
Drew P	16	25.00%	75.00%	14	42.86%	57.14%	7	28.57%	71.43%
Dudley GC	8	50.00%	50.00%	7	14.29%	85.71%	-	-	-
Duggan RH	-	-	-	13	23.08%	76.92%	89	40.45%	59.55%
Dunlop D	-	-	-	7	14.29%	85.71%	16	31.25%	68.75%
Durrant KP	26	19.23%	80.77%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dyer DR	23	43.48%	56.52%	21	33.33%	66.67%	13	53.85%	46.15%
Eagle R	68	39.71%	60.29%	69	28.99%	71.01%	30	40.00%	60.00%
Eagles J	90	51.11%	48.89%	77	44.16%	55.84%	36	41.67%	58.33%
Eggleton P	111	16.22%	83.78%	135	21.48%	78.52%	107	16.82%	83.18%
Ellis J	96	39.58%	60.42%	87	34.48%	65.52%	62	30.65%	69.35%
Ellison K	50	42.00%	58.00%	42	28.57%	71.43%	44	31.82%	68.18%
Ellison M	22	36.36%	63.64%	39	35.90%	64.10%	24	45.83%	54.17%
Ellwood FM	63	28.57%	71.43%	50	28.00%	72.00%	37	24.32%	75.68%
Emerson SJ	45	35.56%	64.44%	75	29.33%	70.67%	16	25.00%	75.00%
Evans LJ	7	42.86%	57.14%	26	26.92%	73.08%	44	43.18%	56.82%
Evans MW	98	52.04%	47.96%	50	56.00%	44.00%	56	46.43%	53.57%
Evans RO	4	50.00%	50.00%	11	9.09%	90.91%	8	25.00%	75.00%
Fabian W	58	32.76%	67.24%	80	32.50%	67.50%	33	42.42%	57.58%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Fairclough A	33	21.21%	78.79%	35	48.57%	51.43%	12	41.67%	58.33%
Farish EN	43	13.95%	86.05%	61	24.59%	75.41%	52	13.46%	86.54%
Farrimond F	10	50.00%	50.00%	12	33.33%	66.67%	6	16.67%	83.33%
Felgate J	130	47.69%	52.31%	70	41.43%	58.57%	69	37.68%	62.32%
Fenton D	20	55.00%	45.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fenwick P	-	-	-	6	16.67%	83.33%	48	37.50%	62.50%
Fieldhouse EM	22	36.36%	63.64%	54	27.78%	72.22%	46	32.61%	67.39%
Fitzsimon D	67	20.90%	79.10%	120	23.33%	76.67%	86	22.09%	77.91%
Foster RS	25	64.00%	36.00%	64	39.06%	60.94%	21	52.38%	47.62%
Fox M	64	46.88%	53.13%	119	31.93%	68.07%	83	30.12%	69.88%
Fox SA	16	50.00%	50.00%	15	53.33%	46.67%	2	50.00%	50.00%
Freeman AS	97	57.73%	42.27%	57	45.61%	54.39%	50	46.00%	54.00%
Freeman NP	7	14.29%	85.71%	11	72.73%	27.27%	13	38.46%	61.54%
Frost CR	38	36.84%	63.16%	59	27.12%	72.88%	33	33.33%	66.67%
Fussey A	1	100.00%	0.00%	6	33.33%	66.67%	9	44.44%	55.56%
Garnham GM	40	40.00%	60.00%	84	39.29%	60.71%	76	28.95%	71.05%
German J	54	27.78%	72.22%	32	15.63%	84.38%	31	19.35%	80.65%
Gethin CJ	130	22.31%	77.69%	126	15.87%	84.13%	92	21.74%	78.26%
Ghafoor A	48	47.92%	52.08%	72	18.06%	81.94%	24	16.67%	83.33%
Gibbs SE	24	29.17%	70.83%	25	48.00%	52.00%	10	60.00%	40.00%
Gilbert C	-	-	-	5	40.00%	60.00%	37	48.65%	51.35%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Gillis JDS	66	24.24%	75.76%	75	26.67%	73.33%	33	45.45%	54.55%
Glover S	61	34.43%	65.57%	47	46.81%	53.19%	28	28.57%	71.43%
Golder PJ	54	25.93%	74.07%	54	27.78%	72.22%	34	26.47%	73.53%
Goodman PA	44	36.36%	63.64%	34	47.06%	52.94%	27	48.15%	51.85%
Gossop CJ	33	27.27%	72.73%	48	29.17%	70.83%	18	38.89%	61.11%
Grace D	78	37.18%	62.82%	85	38.82%	61.18%	37	35.14%	64.86%
Grace EC	46	36.96%	63.04%	58	29.31%	70.69%	44	31.82%	68.18%
Graham J	66	48.48%	51.52%	86	19.77%	80.23%	68	30.88%	69.12%
Graham L	32	37.50%	62.50%	62	30.65%	69.35%	16	43.75%	56.25%
Graham P	12	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grainger P	30	30.00%	70.00%	38	34.21%	65.79%	24	37.50%	62.50%
Grantham RWN	35	14.29%	85.71%	59	37.29%	62.71%	47	34.04%	65.96%
Gray A	26	30.77%	69.23%	22	40.91%	59.09%	-	-	-
Gray JL	37	43.24%	56.76%	27	55.56%	44.44%	21	42.86%	57.14%
Greaves S	-	-	-	3	0.00%	100.00%	64	34.38%	65.63%
Green D	29	27.59%	72.41%	43	23.26%	76.74%	30	23.33%	76.67%
Greenfield J	43	18.60%	81.40%	45	33.33%	66.67%	8	12.50%	87.50%
Greenwood JL	-	-	-	5	20.00%	80.00%	69	39.13%	60.87%
Gregory J	108	34.26%	65.74%	81	28.40%	71.60%	58	36.21%	63.79%
Gresty J	45	22.22%	77.78%	42	21.43%	78.57%	51	19.61%	80.39%
Griffiths JT	1	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Griffiths P	1	0.00%	100.00%	67	26.87%	73.13%	75	37.33%	62.67%
Grindey GD	55	18.18%	81.82%	58	20.69%	79.31%	61	31.15%	68.85%
Hainsworth DA	20	40.00%	60.00%	24	50.00%	50.00%	15	53.33%	46.67%
Hall S	58	31.03%	68.97%	63	39.68%	60.32%	66	36.36%	63.64%
Hammas N	37	35.14%	64.86%	56	41.07%	58.93%	30	43.33%	56.67%
Hammond A	58	43.10%	56.90%	74	28.38%	71.62%	41	36.59%	63.41%
Hand S	25	24.00%	76.00%	57	40.35%	59.65%	51	37.25%	62.75%
Harmston DA	70	42.86%	57.14%	68	48.53%	51.47%	71	35.21%	64.79%
Harrison D	13	23.08%	76.92%	11	27.27%	72.73%	7	57.14%	42.86%
Harrison N	90	48.89%	51.11%	54	50.00%	50.00%	46	52.17%	47.83%
Harwood A	28	25.00%	75.00%	25	40.00%	60.00%	34	29.41%	70.59%
Head JO	41	36.59%	63.41%	66	43.94%	56.06%	59	50.85%	49.15%
Heffernan L	40	47.50%	52.50%	61	37.70%	62.30%	16	18.75%	81.25%
Hellier B	63	26.98%	73.02%	84	32.14%	67.86%	54	40.74%	59.26%
Hersey RA	63	38.10%	61.90%	67	43.28%	56.72%	38	44.74%	55.26%
Hesketh SE	26	42.31%	57.69%	22	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-
Hetherington M	65	32.31%	67.69%	93	26.88%	73.12%	34	29.41%	70.59%
Heyes M	81	38.27%	61.73%	83	42.17%	57.83%	15	33.33%	66.67%
Heywood S	67	29.85%	70.15%	83	25.30%	74.70%	23	39.13%	60.87%
Higenbottam H	64	32.81%	67.19%	72	38.89%	61.11%	66	42.42%	57.58%
Higginbottom J	-	-	-	5	0.00%	100.00%	42	35.71%	64.29%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
High R	65	26.15%	73.85%	122	27.05%	72.95%	79	36.71%	63.29%
Hill E	60	20.00%	80.00%	72	22.22%	77.78%	15	20.00%	80.00%
Hill G	47	23.40%	76.60%	64	31.25%	68.75%	22	50.00%	50.00%
Hill K	-	-	-	15	26.67%	73.33%	84	32.14%	67.86%
Hill MP	44	31.82%	68.18%	73	35.62%	64.38%	11	27.27%	72.73%
Hill S	52	30.77%	69.23%	53	20.75%	79.25%	31	35.48%	64.52%
Hill ZH	46	21.74%	78.26%	70	22.86%	77.14%	45	22.22%	77.78%
Hillyer MA	28	21.43%	78.57%	48	22.92%	77.08%	7	42.86%	57.14%
Hiscox RD	74	47.30%	52.70%	23	39.13%	60.87%	-	-	-
Hitchings EC	70	27.14%	72.86%	17	47.06%	52.94%	-	-	-
Hogger D	52	30.77%	69.23%	66	40.91%	59.09%	76	21.05%	78.95%
Hoile CJ	50	52.00%	48.00%	49	40.82%	59.18%	11	45.45%	54.55%
Holden S	65	18.46%	81.54%	84	23.81%	76.19%	41	26.83%	73.17%
Holland S	47	23.40%	76.60%	46	36.96%	63.04%	46	13.04%	86.96%
Hollington GM	100	37.00%	63.00%	111	36.94%	63.06%	71	36.62%	63.38%
Hollis DG	43	44.19%	55.81%	25	32.00%	68.00%	33	15.15%	84.85%
Hollox RE	35	11.43%	88.57%	31	35.48%	64.52%	39	23.08%	76.92%
Holt NAC	43	32.56%	67.44%	35	25.71%	74.29%	22	31.82%	68.18%
Hoult C	73	38.36%	61.64%	99	32.32%	67.68%	21	23.81%	76.19%
Hughes AC	82	28.05%	71.95%	78	33.33%	66.67%	29	31.03%	68.97%
Hughes C	-	-	-	8	37.50%	62.50%	8	37.50%	62.50%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Huntley R	-	-	-	5	40.00%	60.00%	37	59.46%	40.54%
Hurley MC	27	33.33%	66.67%	18	16.67%	83.33%	15	33.33%	66.67%
Hutchinson ANC	27	29.63%	70.37%	-	-	-	21	42.86%	57.14%
I' Anson AF	52	36.54%	63.46%	42	50.00%	50.00%	22	50.00%	50.00%
Isaac G	60	21.67%	78.33%	70	21.43%	78.57%	39	25.64%	74.36%
Jackson P	93	36.56%	63.44%	38	39.47%	60.53%	54	29.63%	70.37%
Jacques R	9	11.11%	88.89%	52	30.77%	69.23%	29	37.93%	62.07%
Jagger JM	44	45.45%	54.55%	40	60.00%	40.00%	33	66.67%	33.33%
Jarratt P	46	45.65%	54.35%	63	26.98%	73.02%	21	38.10%	61.90%
Jarvis C	4	50.00%	50.00%	9	0.00%	100.00%	6	0.00%	100.00%
Jarvis P	49	22.45%	77.55%	17	17.65%	82.35%	42	21.43%	78.57%
Jenkins DW	16	37.50%	62.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jenkins I	130	15.38%	84.62%	100	22.00%	78.00%	81	13.58%	86.42%
Jeyes A	91	39.56%	60.44%	97	30.93%	69.07%	62	32.26%	67.74%
Job SC	61	22.95%	77.05%	54	31.48%	68.52%	61	32.79%	67.21%
Johnson C	5	60.00%	40.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson D	6	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jones GP	-	-	-	3	33.33%	66.67%	75	46.67%	53.33%
Jones H	5	20.00%	80.00%	3	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-
Jones J	42	30.95%	69.05%	45	28.89%	71.11%	6	50.00%	50.00%
Jones M	126	27.78%	72.22%	99	22.22%	77.78%	39	23.08%	76.92%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Joyce MJ	10	30.00%	70.00%	18	44.44%	55.56%	2	0.00%	100.00%
Juniper B	82	45.12%	54.88%	82	45.12%	54.88%	61	49.18%	50.82%
Kaiserman DS	98	32.65%	67.35%	74	24.32%	75.68%	47	44.68%	55.32%
Kemmann-Lane TJ	-	-	-	56	51.79%	48.21%	7	57.14%	42.86%
King A	-	-	-	2	50.00%	50.00%	92	29.35%	70.65%
King JG	43	30.23%	69.77%	80	33.75%	66.25%	29	34.48%	65.52%
Kingaby JC	18	22.22%	77.78%	41	31.71%	68.29%	24	33.33%	66.67%
Kirby AD	7	57.14%	42.86%	3	33.33%	66.67%	1	100.00%	0.00%
Kirkbride C	84	38.10%	61.90%	108	29.63%	70.37%	17	52.94%	47.06%
Langton A	6	33.33%	66.67%	1	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Lavender DC	36	38.89%	61.11%	56	37.50%	62.50%	34	41.18%	58.82%
Lawrence EA	83	40.96%	59.04%	88	29.55%	70.45%	54	27.78%	72.22%
Lea A	88	38.64%	61.36%	75	33.33%	66.67%	64	32.81%	67.19%
Leeming DB	33	54.55%	45.45%	32	43.75%	56.25%	57	45.61%	54.39%
Leigh CJ	61	29.51%	70.49%	78	46.15%	53.85%	92	33.70%	66.30%
Lewis D	20	10.00%	90.00%	17	17.65%	82.35%	12	41.67%	58.33%
Lloyd I	12	25.00%	75.00%	13	46.15%	53.85%	4	25.00%	75.00%
Lloyd J	18	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lloyd P	-	-	-	5	20.00%	80.00%	58	17.24%	82.76%
Lock Hilary	-	-	-	5	0.00%	100.00%	66	21.21%	78.79%
Lowe M	35	45.71%	54.29%	41	56.10%	43.90%	7	14.29%	85.71%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Luck RA	40	30.00%	70.00%	28	17.86%	82.14%	22	27.27%	72.73%
Lyman A	46	34.78%	65.22%	90	26.67%	73.33%	106	19.81%	80.19%
Lyon RR	88	37.50%	62.50%	104	25.96%	74.04%	21	28.57%	71.43%
Lyons B	92	36.96%	63.04%	88	39.77%	60.23%	84	26.19%	73.81%
Machin DP	42	38.10%	61.90%	49	28.57%	71.43%	7	14.29%	85.71%
Mackenzie RV	38	31.58%	68.42%	51	58.82%	41.18%	13	69.23%	30.77%
Maher V	43	37.21%	62.79%	89	38.20%	61.80%	14	28.57%	71.43%
Mahoney FM	54	33.33%	66.67%	52	38.46%	61.54%	51	17.65%	82.35%
Maile RJ	111	53.15%	46.85%	109	51.38%	48.62%	75	41.33%	58.67%
Mair DCM	18	38.89%	61.11%	40	22.50%	77.50%	29	27.59%	72.41%
Major PJ	28	50.00%	50.00%	34	38.24%	61.76%	10	40.00%	60.00%
Manning K	86	37.21%	62.79%	111	39.64%	60.36%	87	39.08%	60.92%
Mapson G	14	64.29%	35.71%	7	42.86%	57.14%	6	0.00%	100.00%
Marshall RJ	91	27.47%	72.53%	78	37.18%	62.82%	66	30.30%	69.70%
Martin JH	2	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mather R	109	33.03%	66.97%	114	29.82%	70.18%	76	32.89%	67.11%
Mattocks JR	10	50.00%	50.00%	1	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
McCabe KL	7	0.00%	100.00%	1	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-
McCoy R	86	32.56%	67.44%	71	33.80%	66.20%	79	32.91%	67.09%
McCretton IC	79	26.58%	73.42%	83	25.30%	74.70%	57	19.30%	80.70%
McFarlane G	12	25.00%	75.00%	20	40.00%	60.00%	9	33.33%	66.67%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
McKay CW	14	35.71%	64.29%	12	33.33%	66.67%	4	0.00%	100.00%
McPherson I	22	40.91%	59.09%	50	30.00%	70.00%	8	25.00%	75.00%
Mead A	22	31.82%	68.18%	18	16.67%	83.33%	2	100.00%	0.00%
Meardon BG	54	46.30%	53.70%	42	38.10%	61.90%	24	54.17%	45.83%
Mellor R	26	26.92%	73.08%	60	35.00%	65.00%	38	34.21%	65.79%
Merelie RC	48	8.33%	91.67%	44	29.55%	70.45%	-	-	-
Metcalf J	72	45.83%	54.17%	89	47.19%	52.81%	61	36.07%	63.93%
Metcalf PA	7	14.29%	85.71%	43	18.60%	81.40%	55	16.36%	83.64%
Michael RJ	57	29.82%	70.18%	21	28.57%	71.43%	8	37.50%	62.50%
Middleton M	58	32.76%	67.24%	67	26.87%	73.13%	67	35.82%	64.18%
Miles J	98	28.57%	71.43%	78	37.18%	62.82%	73	34.25%	65.75%
Miles SH	123	30.08%	69.92%	141	41.13%	58.87%	81	41.98%	58.02%
Millard JG	82	30.49%	69.51%	73	23.29%	76.71%	82	21.95%	78.05%
Millns C	23	39.13%	60.87%	17	47.06%	52.94%	9	33.33%	66.67%
Mitchell E	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%	46	34.78%	65.22%
Moffett J	20	45.00%	55.00%	42	42.86%	57.14%	10	50.00%	50.00%
Moffoot M	107	29.91%	70.09%	130	30.00%	70.00%	111	22.52%	77.48%
Moon RW	48	31.25%	68.75%	49	32.65%	67.35%	49	44.90%	55.10%
Moore M	85	37.65%	62.35%	74	39.19%	60.81%	58	39.66%	60.34%
Morden DE	7	42.86%	57.14%	5	60.00%	40.00%	15	40.00%	60.00%
Mordey R	68	19.12%	80.88%	73	21.92%	78.08%	10	0.00%	100.00%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Morgan D	49	44.90%	55.10%	86	38.37%	61.63%	58	46.55%	53.45%
Morgan SA	74	27.03%	72.97%	34	35.29%	64.71%	10	50.00%	50.00%
Morris J	41	41.46%	58.54%	46	41.30%	58.70%	29	51.72%	48.28%
Morris PV	9	22.22%	77.78%	13	23.08%	76.92%	6	16.67%	83.33%
Moxon KP	4	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Muers RL	6	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mumford DJ	42	28.57%	71.43%	60	35.00%	65.00%	2	0.00%	100.00%
Munday WD	25	44.00%	56.00%	32	37.50%	62.50%	17	41.18%	58.82%
Murray D	48	52.08%	47.92%	82	35.37%	64.63%	28	46.43%	53.57%
Murray J	74	35.14%	64.86%	44	27.27%	72.73%	16	18.75%	81.25%
Muston MJ	9	55.56%	44.44%	19	21.05%	78.95%	17	17.65%	82.35%
Mwanza Y	-	-	-	1	100.00%	0.00%	68	38.24%	61.76%
Newman AS	61	44.26%	55.74%	15	26.67%	73.33%	-	-	-
Newmarch C	80	31.25%	68.75%	35	40.00%	60.00%	40	32.50%	67.50%
Nicholson DR	64	45.31%	54.69%	58	29.31%	70.69%	44	38.64%	61.36%
Nield CM	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.00%	100.00%
Nield K	110	20.91%	79.09%	67	29.85%	70.15%	67	32.84%	67.16%
Nixon AB	2	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nixon JS	19	5.26%	94.74%	46	19.57%	80.43%	2	50.00%	50.00%
Nock P	39	20.51%	79.49%	21	28.57%	71.43%	32	25.00%	75.00%
Norman PS	2	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
North J	96	22.92%	77.08%	90	30.00%	70.00%	54	42.59%	57.41%
Novitzky AC	7	42.86%	57.14%	23	43.48%	56.52%	1	0.00%	100.00%
Nunn M	-	-	-	1	100.00%	0.00%	55	27.27%	72.73%
Ogier R	35	45.71%	54.29%	32	25.00%	75.00%	17	23.53%	76.47%
Onn D	62	20.97%	79.03%	30	13.33%	86.67%	-	-	-
Ord E	32	50.00%	50.00%	89	43.82%	56.18%	41	26.83%	73.17%
O'Rourke MT	25	28.00%	72.00%	47	29.79%	70.21%	17	41.18%	58.82%
Owen-Smith R	42	21.43%	78.57%	39	30.77%	69.23%	26	26.92%	73.08%
Papworth SJ	77	38.96%	61.04%	73	27.40%	72.60%	59	35.59%	64.41%
Parkhill ECA	6	33.33%	66.67%	2	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Parry RN	18	50.00%	50.00%	5	60.00%	40.00%	32	40.63%	59.38%
Payne NA	13	46.15%	53.85%	16	37.50%	62.50%	9	44.44%	55.56%
Peerless KJ	23	43.48%	56.52%	14	50.00%	50.00%	19	36.84%	63.16%
Pellegram A	-	-	-	4	0.00%	100.00%	11	45.45%	54.55%
Perrins R	22	45.45%	54.55%	16	43.75%	56.25%	12	66.67%	33.33%
Phillimore TG	27	37.04%	62.96%	83	36.14%	63.86%	39	43.59%	56.41%
Phillips R	67	29.85%	70.15%	1	0.00%	100.00%	-	-	-
Phillipson AM	13	30.77%	69.23%	63	33.33%	66.67%	11	45.45%	54.55%
Pickering AC	50	44.00%	56.00%	36	30.56%	69.44%	-	-	-
Pike MJ	60	43.33%	56.67%	47	34.04%	65.96%	9	44.44%	55.56%
Pinner DC	17	70.59%	29.41%	20	80.00%	20.00%	1	0.00%	100.00%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Poole S	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%	77	27.27%	72.73%
Pope N	67	37.31%	62.69%	94	40.43%	59.57%	90	35.56%	64.44%
Poppleton RM	5	40.00%	60.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poulter A	6	0.00%	100.00%	6	16.67%	83.33%	-	-	-
Povey TN	47	25.53%	74.47%	17	29.41%	70.59%	-	-	-
Pratt SJ	51	33.33%	66.67%	40	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Prentis D	79	44.30%	55.70%	82	32.93%	67.07%	50	52.00%	48.00%
Priestley RW	6	16.67%	83.33%	3	66.67%	33.33%	6	33.33%	66.67%
Pritchard R	100	40.00%	60.00%	115	33.91%	66.09%	74	39.19%	60.81%
Punshon R	31	45.16%	54.84%	68	45.59%	54.41%	40	40.00%	60.00%
Pybus JB	50	38.00%	62.00%	13	46.15%	53.85%	12	25.00%	75.00%
Pykett AN	62	45.16%	54.84%	24	33.33%	66.67%	26	50.00%	50.00%
Radcliffe I	107	32.71%	67.29%	75	40.00%	60.00%	84	45.24%	54.76%
Rankin D	100	35.00%	65.00%	74	45.95%	54.05%	44	43.18%	56.82%
Rawle S	57	28.07%	71.93%	77	40.26%	59.74%	60	26.67%	73.33%
Reid JC	58	27.59%	72.41%	41	24.39%	75.61%	39	25.64%	74.36%
Reid SM	10	10.00%	90.00%	10	40.00%	60.00%	8	25.00%	75.00%
Rennie G	40	35.00%	65.00%	2	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-
Richards DYB	52	46.15%	53.85%	98	50.00%	50.00%	28	46.43%	53.57%
Ridge K	76	32.89%	67.11%	57	42.11%	57.89%	54	40.74%	59.26%
Ritchie A	45	40.00%	60.00%	52	48.08%	51.92%	44	38.64%	61.36%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Rivett M	106	45.28%	54.72%	117	35.04%	64.96%	40	37.50%	62.50%
Roberts N	19	42.11%	57.89%	12	41.67%	58.33%	2	50.00%	50.00%
Roberts J	101	37.62%	62.38%	109	40.37%	59.63%	91	42.86%	57.14%
Robins M	46	32.61%	67.39%	104	29.81%	70.19%	66	28.79%	71.21%
Robinson AD	48	47.92%	52.08%	61	49.18%	50.82%	20	50.00%	50.00%
Rodgers L	90	25.56%	74.44%	89	20.22%	79.78%	54	24.07%	75.93%
Rogers BS	66	43.94%	56.06%	32	37.50%	62.50%	9	44.44%	55.56%
Roland AN	83	36.14%	63.86%	79	39.24%	60.76%	54	27.78%	72.22%
Roscoe S	88	42.05%	57.95%	56	48.21%	51.79%	13	46.15%	53.85%
Rose DJ	34	23.53%	76.47%	47	29.79%	70.21%	17	17.65%	82.35%
Rose DMH	30	36.67%	63.33%	-	-	-	23	21.74%	78.26%
Rose H	91	24.18%	75.82%	81	18.52%	81.48%	37	10.81%	89.19%
Rowe M	34	38.24%	61.76%	32	28.13%	71.88%	30	30.00%	70.00%
Rusdale D	15	26.67%	73.33%	5	60.00%	40.00%	-	-	-
Russell JL	62	29.03%	70.97%	81	23.46%	76.54%	22	22.73%	77.27%
Russon HWJ	4	25.00%	75.00%	5	40.00%	60.00%	2	50.00%	50.00%
Salter GM	20	50.00%	50.00%	22	36.36%	63.64%	6	33.33%	66.67%
Sargent J	82	42.68%	57.32%	97	31.96%	68.04%	67	40.30%	59.70%
Saul D	36	41.67%	58.33%	41	34.15%	65.85%	25	32.00%	68.00%
Say M	31	29.03%	70.97%	27	51.85%	48.15%	28	53.57%	46.43%
Scott BC	49	36.73%	63.27%	47	38.30%	61.70%	23	34.78%	65.22%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Scott DM	9	33.33%	66.67%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scott J	-	-	-	4	0.00%	100.00%	72	8.33%	91.67%
Seaman A	105	33.33%	66.67%	90	26.67%	73.33%	69	23.19%	76.81%
Seddon M	33	36.36%	63.64%	18	33.33%	66.67%	19	15.79%	84.21%
Self GF	9	11.11%	88.89%	14	14.29%	85.71%	2	0.00%	100.00%
Sexton RA	19	42.11%	57.89%	28	42.86%	57.14%	4	50.00%	50.00%
Sheers D	1	0.00%	100.00%	2	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-
Sheffield K	46	15.22%	84.78%	89	17.98%	82.02%	96	30.21%	69.79%
Sherratt C	79	53.16%	46.84%	33	30.30%	69.70%	5	40.00%	60.00%
Shrimplin RC	34	38.24%	61.76%	35	28.57%	71.43%	32	53.13%	46.88%
Simpson E	26	26.92%	73.08%	33	27.27%	72.73%	25	40.00%	60.00%
Simpson SAF	54	31.48%	68.52%	24	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Sims BJ	9	55.56%	44.44%	26	46.15%	53.85%	21	52.38%	47.62%
Single M	78	39.74%	60.26%	88	30.68%	69.32%	63	42.86%	57.14%
Skippers A	6	16.67%	83.33%	28	7.14%	92.86%	44	25.00%	75.00%
Slack S	11	54.55%	45.45%	9	55.56%	44.44%	3	100.00%	0.00%
Sleath D	10	20.00%	80.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smith D	106	34.91%	65.09%	53	37.74%	62.26%	23	34.78%	65.22%
Smith K	35	28.57%	71.43%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smith KG	19	31.58%	68.42%	35	20.00%	80.00%	33	24.24%	75.76%
Snowdon GE	84	53.57%	46.43%	82	51.22%	48.78%	57	35.09%	64.91%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Spencer O	69	33.33%	66.67%	64	20.31%	79.69%	44	36.36%	63.64%
Sproule C	71	29.58%	70.42%	91	39.56%	60.44%	89	31.46%	68.54%
Stephens HR	3	66.67%	33.33%	8	37.50%	62.50%	15	40.00%	60.00%
Stephenson D	100	42.00%	58.00%	68	35.29%	64.71%	74	24.32%	75.68%
Stewart GR	45	26.67%	73.33%	12	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Stiles JV	11	9.09%	90.91%	17	5.88%	94.12%	7	0.00%	100.00%
Stones M	-	-	-	3	0.00%	100.00%	55	27.27%	72.73%
Storrie D	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%	58	29.31%	70.69%
Storrie D	-	-	-	2	0.00%	100.00%	58	29.31%	70.69%
Street AJJ	5	20.00%	80.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Symons G	97	47.42%	52.58%	113	34.51%	65.49%	22	54.55%	45.45%
Tamplin R	9	33.33%	66.67%	7	14.29%	85.71%	2	0.00%	100.00%
Taylor N	-	-	-	32	34.38%	65.63%	14	28.57%	71.43%
Taylor PA	1	100.00%	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Taylor S	32	25.00%	75.00%	41	51.22%	48.78%	26	30.77%	69.23%
Tester DJ	7	14.29%	85.71%	42	38.10%	61.90%	33	27.27%	72.73%
Thew DJ	23	26.09%	73.91%	17	17.65%	82.35%	9	11.11%	88.89%
Thickett A	74	37.84%	62.16%	88	34.09%	65.91%	6	16.67%	83.33%
Thomas C	37	32.43%	67.57%	51	31.37%	68.63%	43	34.88%	65.12%
Thomas GP	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.00%	100.00%
Thomas M	27	33.33%	66.67%	44	45.45%	54.55%	44	29.55%	70.45%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Thomas RW	61	36.07%	63.93%	86	37.21%	62.79%	60	41.67%	58.33%
Thompson CA	-	-	-	5	60.00%	40.00%	5	40.00%	60.00%
Thorby C	48	25.00%	75.00%	72	25.00%	75.00%	40	32.50%	67.50%
Tipping C	6	16.67%	83.33%	12	41.67%	58.33%	3	0.00%	100.00%
Tokley CL	77	45.45%	54.55%	104	32.69%	67.31%	58	29.31%	70.69%
Trask J	63	26.98%	73.02%	80	15.00%	85.00%	57	17.54%	82.46%
Travers MF	3	0.00%	100.00%	33	33.33%	66.67%	20	35.00%	65.00%
Trewick CF	12	66.67%	33.33%	8	37.50%	62.50%	-	-	-
Turner CS	15	13.33%	86.67%	52	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Turner IK	12	50.00%	50.00%	3	66.67%	33.33%	8	37.50%	62.50%
Turner JM	21	61.90%	38.10%	2	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-
Turner SJ	29	17.24%	82.76%	30	36.67%	63.33%	19	31.58%	68.42%
Tyrrell C	32	53.13%	46.88%	113	40.71%	59.29%	55	45.45%	54.55%
Upward AB	17	35.29%	64.71%	18	22.22%	77.78%	3	33.33%	66.67%
Vaughan AH	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.00%	100.00%
Vickery DR	43	32.56%	67.44%	31	12.90%	87.10%	22	36.36%	63.64%
Vyse JA	45	33.33%	66.67%	79	27.85%	72.15%	49	28.57%	71.43%
Waldron JD	8	12.50%	87.50%	8	50.00%	50.00%	4	0.00%	100.00%
Ward D	24	29.17%	70.83%	65	36.92%	63.08%	41	46.34%	53.66%
Ward K	54	48.15%	51.85%	90	43.33%	56.67%	69	34.78%	65.22%
Ware PJG	31	25.81%	74.19%	69	40.58%	59.42%	24	12.50%	87.50%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Warwick A	-	-	-	5	0.00%	100.00%	50	26.00%	74.00%
Watson C	54	55.56%	44.44%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Watson J	35	34.29%	65.71%	105	37.14%	62.86%	29	51.72%	48.28%
Watson RE	62	41.94%	58.06%	27	25.93%	74.07%	12	33.33%	66.67%
Watson S	-	-	-	4	50.00%	50.00%	55	38.18%	61.82%
Watts C	-	-	-	2	50.00%	50.00%	40	52.50%	47.50%
Westbrook J	83	38.55%	61.45%	84	36.90%	63.10%	67	40.30%	59.70%
Whalley JLS	7	28.57%	71.43%	15	33.33%	66.67%	6	50.00%	50.00%
Wharton AJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whitehead M	95	44.21%	55.79%	80	51.25%	48.75%	74	40.54%	59.46%
Whitehead P	11	18.18%	81.82%	87	22.99%	77.01%	7	28.57%	71.43%
Whitehouse CFB	22	40.91%	59.09%	16	37.50%	62.50%	10	60.00%	40.00%
Wiggins K	42	21.43%	78.57%	4	25.00%	75.00%	-	-	-
Wilde J	39	28.21%	71.79%	95	29.47%	70.53%	89	29.21%	70.79%
Wildsmith DA	34	32.35%	67.65%	64	18.75%	81.25%	-	-	-
Wilkinson BC	14	28.57%	71.43%	4	25.00%	75.00%	12	50.00%	50.00%
Wilkinson J	62	33.87%	66.13%	78	14.10%	85.90%	57	15.79%	84.21%
Williams KL	49	36.73%	63.27%	16	43.75%	56.25%	26	34.62%	65.38%
Willmer P	75	40.00%	60.00%	74	24.32%	75.68%	55	27.27%	72.73%
Willows P	81	35.80%	64.20%	108	45.37%	54.63%	78	32.05%	67.95%
Wilson A	68	32.35%	67.65%	49	32.65%	67.35%	32	37.50%	62.50%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: % allowed/dismissed by Inspector

Table 5.1b - Planning appeals

Inspector	2007/08			2008/09			2009/10		
	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed	No.	% Allowed	% Dismissed
Wilson PD	48	35.42%	64.58%	54	38.89%	61.11%	24	29.17%	70.83%
Wood A	36	30.56%	69.44%	45	33.33%	66.67%	39	33.33%	66.67%
Wood AJ	17	35.29%	64.71%	14	28.57%	71.43%	23	26.09%	73.91%
Wood T	81	41.98%	58.02%	89	30.34%	69.66%	50	20.00%	80.00%
Woodburn C	20	50.00%	50.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Woolcock JF	68	14.71%	85.29%	55	23.64%	76.36%	23	39.13%	60.87%
Woolnough A	14	42.86%	57.14%	25	32.00%	68.00%	12	58.33%	41.67%
Worden S	71	46.48%	53.52%	86	37.21%	62.79%	57	40.35%	59.65%
Wride L	38	36.84%	63.16%	24	8.33%	91.67%	7	28.57%	71.43%
Yellowley J	111	38.74%	61.26%	60	36.67%	63.33%	43	34.88%	65.12%
Yorke RJ	54	37.04%	62.96%	33	42.42%	57.58%	29	48.28%	51.72%
Youle J	85	48.24%	51.76%	83	36.14%	63.86%	46	32.61%	67.39%
Young PF	14	50.00%	50.00%	48	16.67%	83.33%	27	18.52%	81.48%
Yuille RJ	81	23.46%	76.54%	46	28.26%	71.74%	9	22.22%	77.78%

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	2	0	18%	9	82%	11
Allerdale Borough Council	9	1	34%	19	66%	29
Amber Valley Borough Council	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
Arun District Council	11	0	24%	34	76%	45
Ashfield District Council	5	0	29%	12	71%	17
Ashford Borough Council	9	0	23%	31	78%	40
Aylesbury Vale District Council	19	3	35%	40	65%	62
Babergh District Council	12	1	50%	13	50%	26
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	7	0	17%	35	83%	42
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Basildon District Council	16	2	45%	22	55%	40
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	22	2	35%	44	65%	68
Bassetlaw District Council	18	1	50%	19	50%	38
Bath and North East Somerset Council	31	0	40%	47	60%	78
Bedford Borough Council	15	1	31%	36	69%	52
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	-	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	36	0	32%	77	68%	113
Blaby District Council	6	0	50%	6	50%	12
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	10	1	31%	24	69%	35
Blackpool Borough Council	3	0	23%	10	77%	13
Bolsover District Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	7	0	29%	17	71%	24
Borough of Poole Council	34	1	45%	42	55%	77
Boston Borough Council	2	0	25%	6	75%	8
Bournemouth Borough Council	36	0	33%	74	67%	110
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	22	0	50%	22	50%	44

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Braintree District Council	18	1	43%	25	57%	44
Breckland Council	1	0	2%	40	98%	41
Brentwood Borough Council	16	1	46%	20	54%	37
Brighton and Hove City Council	42	1	32%	93	68%	136
Bristol City Council	30	0	33%	62	67%	92
Broadland District Council	5	0	19%	21	81%	26
Broads Authority	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Bromsgrove District Council	11	0	37%	19	63%	30
Broxbourne Borough Council	4	0	25%	12	75%	16
Broxtowe Borough Council	5	1	38%	10	63%	16
Buckinghamshire County Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
Burnley Borough Council	5	0	38%	8	62%	13
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	6	0	27%	16	73%	22
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	11	6	26%	49	74%	66
Cambridge City Council	13	3	36%	28	64%	44
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	7	0	50%	7	50%	14
Canterbury City Council	22	0	39%	35	61%	57
Carlisle City Council	3	2	42%	7	58%	12
Carrick District Council *	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Castle Point Borough Council	9	3	52%	11	48%	23
Central Bedfordshire	21	2	34%	45	66%	68
Charnwood Borough Council	11	2	42%	18	58%	31
Chelmsford Borough Council	14	0	32%	30	68%	44
Cheltenham Borough Council	12	1	50%	13	50%	26
Cherwell District Council	9	0	35%	17	65%	26

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Cheshire East Council	35	2	35%	69	65%	106
Cheshire West and Chester Council	24	0	34%	47	66%	71
Chesterfield Borough Council	0	0	0%	10	100%	10
Chichester District Council	21	2	40%	35	60%	58
Chiltern District Council	32	3	32%	76	68%	111
Chorley Borough Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
Christchurch Borough Council	10	0	30%	23	70%	33
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	21	2	20%	90	80%	113
City of Lincoln Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
City of London Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
City of Westminster Council	29	1	27%	82	73%	112
City of York Council	10	2	35%	22	65%	34
Colchester Borough Council	6	1	21%	26	79%	33
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Corby Borough Council	3	0	21%	11	79%	14
Cornwall Council	81	2	34%	163	66%	246
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Cotswold District Council	13	1	39%	22	61%	36
Coventry City Council	18	0	38%	29	62%	47
Craven District Council	4	1	50%	5	50%	10
Crawley Borough Council	8	1	39%	14	61%	23
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Cumbria County Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Dacorum Borough Council	17	3	33%	40	67%	60
Darlington Borough Council	5	1	33%	12	67%	18
Dartford Borough Council	8	0	25%	24	75%	32

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Dartmoor National Park Authority	10	0	67%	5	33%	15
Daventry District Council	15	0	44%	19	56%	34
Derby City Council	8	0	32%	17	68%	25
Derbyshire County Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Derbyshire Dales District Council	4	0	16%	21	84%	25
Devon County Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	21	0	37%	36	63%	57
Dorset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dover District Council	8	0	28%	21	72%	29
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	13	0	25%	40	75%	53
Durham Council	25	3	34%	55	66%	83
East Cambridgeshire District Council	8	0	33%	16	67%	24
East Devon District Council	7	0	19%	29	81%	36
East Dorset District Council	14	1	35%	28	65%	43
East Hampshire District Council	10	0	27%	27	73%	37
East Hertfordshire District Council	27	2	31%	65	69%	94
East Lindsey District Council	16	0	24%	51	76%	67
East Northamptonshire District Council	22	0	45%	27	55%	49
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	40	1	36%	72	64%	113
East Staffordshire Borough Council	10	0	43%	13	57%	23
East Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	8	1	53%	8	47%	17
Eastleigh Borough Council	9	0	36%	16	64%	25
Eden District Council	5	0	24%	16	76%	21
Elmbridge Borough Council	31	2	43%	44	57%	77
Epping Forest District Council	27	0	31%	59	69%	86

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	9	1	30%	23	70%	33
Erewash Borough Council	6	0	29%	15	71%	21
Essex County Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
Exeter City Council	7	1	21%	30	79%	38
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Fareham Borough Council	4	0	25%	12	75%	16
Fenland District Council	15	1	53%	14	47%	30
Forest Heath District Council	7	0	26%	20	74%	27
Forest of Dean District Council	17	1	38%	30	63%	48
Fylde Borough Council	7	1	24%	26	76%	34
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	16	3	61%	12	39%	31
Gedling Borough Council	1	0	13%	7	88%	8
Gloucester City Council	9	0	41%	13	59%	22
Gloucestershire County Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Gosport Borough Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Gravesham Borough Council	6	1	19%	29	81%	36
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	9	0	39%	14	61%	23
Guildford Borough Council	41	1	40%	63	60%	105
Halton Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Hambleton District Council	8	0	38%	13	62%	21
Hampshire County Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Harborough District Council	11	0	31%	24	69%	35
Harlow District Council	6	0	46%	7	54%	13
Harrogate Borough Council	32	2	34%	67	66%	101
Hart District Council	22	0	56%	17	44%	39
Hartlepool Borough Council	5	0	45%	6	55%	11

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Hastings Borough Council	4	0	24%	13	76%	17
Havant Borough Council	5	1	27%	16	73%	22
Herefordshire Council	22	1	38%	37	62%	60
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Hertsmere Borough Council	26	1	39%	42	61%	69
High Peak Borough Council	4	0	22%	14	78%	18
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	8	2	63%	6	38%	16
Horsham District Council	25	2	38%	45	63%	72
Huntingdonshire District Council	16	1	31%	37	69%	54
Hyndburn Borough Council	2	1	30%	7	70%	10
Ipswich Borough Council	6	0	38%	10	63%	16
Isle of Wight Council	27	1	31%	62	69%	90
Kent County Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Kettering Borough Council	4	0	24%	13	76%	17
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	14	0	34%	27	66%	41
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	12	0	38%	20	63%	32
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	29	0	35%	55	65%	84
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	4	0	27%	11	73%	15
Lake District National Park Authority	4	0	27%	11	73%	15
Lancashire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	9	1	43%	13	57%	23
Leeds City Council	56	4	30%	140	70%	200
Leicester City Council	9	0	45%	11	55%	20
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	9	1	27%	27	73%	37
Lichfield District Council	4	0	20%	16	80%	20

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	18	2	38%	32	62%	52
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	7	0	29%	17	71%	24
London Borough of Barnet	54	5	29%	144	71%	203
London Borough of Bexley	23	2	37%	42	63%	67
London Borough of Brent	12	4	26%	46	74%	62
London Borough of Bromley	77	2	39%	126	61%	205
London Borough of Camden	21	3	34%	47	66%	71
London Borough of Croydon	72	0	36%	128	64%	200
London Borough of Ealing	34	5	40%	59	60%	98
London Borough of Enfield	52	4	38%	90	62%	146
London Borough of Greenwich	19	1	38%	33	62%	53
London Borough of Hackney	33	2	47%	39	53%	74
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	9	2	31%	25	69%	36
London Borough of Haringey	33	1	32%	72	68%	106
London Borough of Harrow	45	4	41%	70	59%	119
London Borough of Havering	23	2	32%	54	68%	79
London Borough of Hillingdon	33	5	29%	92	71%	130
London Borough of Hounslow	39	3	33%	85	67%	127
London Borough of Islington	21	1	32%	47	68%	69
London Borough of Lambeth	46	4	36%	89	64%	139
London Borough of Lewisham	22	2	37%	41	63%	65
London Borough of Merton	32	2	31%	75	69%	109
London Borough of Newham	6	1	15%	41	85%	48
London Borough of Redbridge	49	4	39%	82	61%	135
London Borough of Southwark	23	1	32%	50	68%	74

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Sutton	22	2	36%	42	64%	66
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	9	0	29%	22	71%	31
London Borough of Wandsworth	14	0	24%	45	76%	59
London Development Agency	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Luton Borough Council	3	1	19%	17	81%	21
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Maidstone Borough Council	11	1	32%	26	68%	38
Maldon District Council	14	0	33%	29	67%	43
Malvern Hills District Council	15	0	41%	22	59%	37
Manchester City Council	14	1	31%	33	69%	48
Mansfield District Council	8	0	42%	11	58%	19
Medway Council	21	1	29%	53	71%	75
Melton Borough Council	6	0	35%	11	65%	17
Mendip District Council	16	0	39%	25	61%	41
Mid Devon District Council	4	0	24%	13	76%	17
Mid Suffolk District Council	17	0	35%	31	65%	48
Mid Sussex District Council	23	0	37%	39	63%	62
Middlesbrough Council	5	0	28%	13	72%	18
Milton Keynes Council	14	0	50%	14	50%	28
Mole Valley District Council	7	2	22%	32	78%	41
New Forest District Council	30	1	37%	52	63%	83
New Forest National Park Authority	19	2	36%	37	64%	58
Newark & Sherwood District Council	23	0	39%	36	61%	59
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	16	0	46%	19	54%	35
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	5	0	26%	14	74%	19

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Norfolk County Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	13	0	34%	25	66%	38
North Dorset District Council	7	0	47%	8	53%	15
North East Derbyshire District Council	8	1	43%	12	57%	21
North East Lincolnshire Council	7	0	23%	24	77%	31
North Hertfordshire District Council	6	2	42%	11	58%	19
North Kesteven District Council	5	2	23%	24	77%	31
North Lincolnshire Council	13	0	33%	27	68%	40
North Norfolk District Council	3	0	14%	18	86%	21
North Somerset Council	13	0	22%	46	78%	59
North Tyneside Council	11	0	42%	15	58%	26
Northumberland Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	1	0	13%	7	88%	8
North West Leicestershire District Council	3	0	25%	9	75%	12
North York Moors National Park Authority	7	1	24%	25	76%	33
North Yorkshire County Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Northampton Borough Council	10	0	45%	12	55%	22
Northumberland County Council	17	3	29%	48	71%	68
Northumberland National Park Authority	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Norwich City Council	4	0	24%	13	76%	17
Nottingham City Council	6	0	43%	8	57%	14
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	11	0	55%	9	45%	20
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	12	1	28%	34	72%	47

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	18	0	32%	38	68%	56
Oxfordshire County Council	10	1	24%	34	76%	45
Peak District National Park Authority	9	0	53%	8	47%	17
Pendle Borough Council	11	1	26%	34	74%	46
Peterborough City Council	12	0	28%	31	72%	43
Plymouth City Council	8	0	36%	14	64%	22
Portsmouth City Council	1	1	14%	12	86%	14
Preston City Council	9	2	32%	23	68%	34
Purbeck District Council	11	0	26%	31	74%	42
Reading Borough Council	10	0	50%	10	50%	20
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	4	0	57%	3	43%	7
Redditch Borough Council	28	3	32%	65	68%	96
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Restormel Borough Council *	4	2	23%	20	77%	26
Ribble Valley Borough Council	24	3	29%	65	71%	92
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	12	3	52%	14	48%	29
Rochford District Council	10	1	42%	15	58%	26
Rossendale Borough Council	11	1	32%	25	68%	37
Rother District Council	31	0	39%	49	61%	80
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	10	1	32%	23	68%	34
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	32	1	33%	67	67%	100
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	36	3	51%	38	49%	77
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	25	1	34%	50	66%	76

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Rugby Borough Council	17	1	49%	19	51%	37
Runnymede Borough Council	4	2	21%	23	79%	29
Rushcliffe Borough Council	10	0	31%	22	69%	32
Rushmoor Borough Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
Rutland County Council	12	1	46%	15	54%	28
Ryedale District Council	6	0	25%	18	75%	24
Salford City Council	10	1	39%	17	61%	28
Salisbury District Council *	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	9	2	34%	21	66%	32
Scarborough Borough Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
Sedgemoor District Council	20	0	37%	34	63%	54
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	7	1	29%	20	71%	28
Selby District Council	7	0	19%	29	81%	36
Sevenoaks District Council	33	0	31%	74	69%	107
Sheffield City Council	18	0	34%	35	66%	53
Shepway District Council	2	0	9%	20	91%	22
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	35	0	35%	64	65%	99
Slough Borough Council	11	0	33%	22	67%	33
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	13	0	41%	19	59%	32
Somerset County Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Bucks District Council	18	1	37%	32	63%	51
South Cambridgeshire District Council	21	1	39%	34	61%	56
South Derbyshire District Council	5	0	25%	15	75%	20
South Gloucestershire Council	25	1	35%	48	65%	74
South Hams District Council	11	1	28%	31	72%	43

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
South Holland District Council	6	1	22%	25	78%	32
South Kesteven District Council	11	1	29%	30	71%	42
South Lakeland District Council	6	0	32%	13	68%	19
South Norfolk District Council	10	1	27%	30	73%	41
South Northamptonshire District Council	13	1	44%	18	56%	32
South Oxfordshire District Council	22	2	38%	39	62%	63
South Ribble Borough Council	7	0	58%	5	42%	12
South Somerset District Council	7	0	18%	33	83%	40
South Staffordshire District Council	17	0	35%	32	65%	49
South Tyneside Council	8	0	40%	12	60%	20
Southampton City Council	12	0	28%	31	72%	43
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	24	1	40%	38	60%	63
Spelthorne Borough Council	7	1	33%	16	67%	24
St Albans City Council	37	3	34%	78	66%	118
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	3	1	31%	9	69%	13
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	7	0	26%	20	74%	27
Stafford Borough Council	17	0	40%	26	60%	43
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	4	1	18%	23	82%	28
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	20	0	44%	25	56%	45
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	12	2	27%	38	73%	52
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	9	0	31%	20	69%	29
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	22	0	41%	32	59%	54
Stroud District Council	27	1	46%	33	54%	61
Suffolk Coastal District Council	2	0	8%	22	92%	24

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Suffolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	9	0	28%	23	72%	32
Surrey County Council	3	0	75%	1	25%	4
Surrey Heath Borough Council	16	1	47%	19	53%	36
Swale Borough Council	18	0	35%	33	65%	51
Swindon Borough Council	19	1	42%	28	58%	48
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	6	0	35%	11	65%	17
Tamworth Borough Council	3	0	27%	8	73%	11
Tandridge District Council	22	0	30%	52	70%	74
Taunton Deane Borough Council	12	0	44%	15	56%	27
Teignbridge District Council	8	1	23%	30	77%	39
Telford and Wrekin Council	9	0	32%	19	68%	28
Tendring District Council	21	0	30%	49	70%	70
Test Valley Borough Council	12	1	33%	26	67%	39
Tewkesbury Borough Council	9	1	25%	30	75%	40
Thanet District Council	8	2	25%	30	75%	40
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Three Rivers District Council	22	0	44%	28	56%	50
Thurrock Borough Council	12	0	46%	14	54%	26
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	11	0	41%	16	59%	27
Torbay Council	15	0	31%	34	69%	49
Torridge District Council	8	1	38%	15	63%	24
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	10	3	34%	25	66%	38
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	12	1	25%	38	75%	51
Uttlesford District Council	12	0	24%	37	76%	49

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Vale of White Horse District Council	9	2	31%	25	69%	36
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	18	0	27%	48	73%	66
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	17	1	41%	26	59%	44
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	12	0	15%	69	85%	81
Warrington Borough Council	16	0	47%	18	53%	34
Warwick District Council	12	0	48%	13	52%	25
Warwickshire County Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Watford Borough Council	11	2	52%	12	48%	25
Waveney District Council	5	0	22%	18	78%	23
Waverley Borough Council	26	1	36%	47	64%	74
Wealden District Council	22	2	38%	40	63%	64
Wellingborough Borough Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
Welwyn Hatfield Council	21	0	39%	33	61%	54
West Berkshire District Council	18	1	33%	38	67%	57
West Devon Borough Council	17	0	53%	15	47%	32
West Dorset District Council	12	0	26%	34	74%	46
West Lancashire District Council	19	1	45%	24	55%	44
West Lindsey District Council	16	0	48%	17	52%	33
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
West Oxfordshire District Council	6	0	19%	26	81%	32
West Somerset District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
West Sussex County Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	3	1	21%	15	79%	19
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	2	0	9%	21	91%	23
Wiltshire Council	66	1	35%	127	65%	194
Winchester City Council	17	0	27%	46	73%	63

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.1 - Planning appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	14	0	33%	28	67%	42
Woking Borough Council	8	0	38%	13	62%	21
Wokingham Borough Council	34	3	37%	64	63%	101
Wolverhampton City Council	11	1	32%	26	68%	38
Worcester City Council	6	1	39%	11	61%	18
Worcestershire County Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Worthing Borough Council	11	2	35%	24	65%	37
Wychavon District Council	18	0	41%	26	59%	44
Wycombe District Council	19	1	22%	70	78%	90
Wyre Borough Council	7	1	27%	22	73%	30
Wyre Forest District Council	7	0	37%	12	63%	19
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	3	2	50%	5	50%	10

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Amber Valley Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Arun District Council	1	1	20%	8	80%	10
Ashfield District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Ashford Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Aylesbury Vale District Council	6	0	55%	5	45%	11
Babergh District Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	6	100%	6
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Basildon District Council	1	2	38%	5	63%	8
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	8	0	67%	4	33%	12
Bassetlaw District Council	3	0	100%	0	0%	3
Bath and North East Somerset Council	3	0	20%	12	80%	15
Bedford Borough Council	0	1	33%	2	67%	3
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	-	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	9	2	52%	10	48%	21
Blaby District Council	3	0	75%	1	25%	4
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Blackpool Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Bolsover District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Borough of Poole Council	2	0	20%	8	80%	10
Boston Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bournemouth Borough Council	3	0	33%	6	67%	9
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	2	1	30%	7	70%	10

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Braintree District Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
Breckland Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Brentwood Borough Council	2	1	43%	4	57%	7
Brighton and Hove City Council	9	1	48%	11	52%	21
Bristol City Council	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
Broadland District Council	4	0	80%	1	20%	5
Broads Authority	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Bromsgrove District Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
Broxbourne Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Broxtowe Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Cambridge City Council	2	1	75%	1	25%	4
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Canterbury City Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Carlisle City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Carrick District Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	5	0	50%	5	50%	10
Central Bedfordshire	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Charnwood Borough Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Chelmsford Borough Council	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
Cheltenham Borough Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Cherwell District Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Cheshire East Council	3	1	27%	11	73%	15
Cheshire West and Chester Council	2	0	17%	10	83%	12
Chesterfield Borough Council	1	1	33%	4	67%	6
Chichester District Council	3	0	30%	7	70%	10
Chiltern District Council	5	0	28%	13	72%	18
Chorley Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Christchurch Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	10	0	50%	10	50%	20
City of Lincoln Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
City of London Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Westminster Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
City of York Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Colchester Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Corby Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cornwall Council	4	2	60%	4	40%	10
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Coventry City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Craven District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Crawley Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	2	0	18%	9	82%	11
Darlington Borough Council	0	2	33%	4	67%	6
Dartford Borough Council	4	0	31%	9	69%	13

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Dartmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Daventry District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Derby City Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Devon County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Dorset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dover District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	6	0	55%	5	45%	11
Durham Council	2	2	40%	6	60%	10
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
East Devon District Council	3	0	30%	7	70%	10
East Dorset District Council	1	0	13%	7	88%	8
East Hampshire District Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
East Hertfordshire District Council	8	0	40%	12	60%	20
East Lindsey District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
East Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	3	1	18%	18	82%	22
East Staffordshire Borough Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
East Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
Eastleigh Borough Council	2	1	43%	4	57%	7
Eden District Council	1	1	67%	1	33%	3
Elmbridge Borough Council	9	0	43%	12	57%	21
Epping Forest District Council	4	0	29%	10	71%	14

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	4	0	50%	4	50%	8
Erewash Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Essex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Exeter City Council	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Fenland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Forest Heath District Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Forest of Dean District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fylde Borough Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	6	100%	6
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Gloucester City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Gravesham Borough Council	3	0	33%	6	67%	9
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Guildford Borough Council	5	0	28%	13	72%	18
Halton Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Hambleton District Council	3	0	100%	0	0%	3
Hampshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Harborough District Council	0	0	0%	7	100%	7
Harlow District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Harrogate Borough Council	7	0	41%	10	59%	17
Hart District Council	3	0	30%	7	70%	10
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Hastings Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Havant Borough Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Herefordshire Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hertfordshire County Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
Hertsmere Borough Council	4	0	44%	5	56%	9
High Peak Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Horsham District Council	4	0	50%	4	50%	8
Huntingdonshire District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ipswich Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Isle of Wight Council	0	1	25%	3	75%	4
Kent County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kettering Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	6	0	35%	11	65%	17
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Lancashire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leeds City Council	13	3	33%	33	67%	49
Leicester City Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
Lichfield District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Barnet	11	1	43%	16	57%	28
London Borough of Bexley	6	0	46%	7	54%	13
London Borough of Brent	2	1	27%	8	73%	11
London Borough of Bromley	17	0	34%	33	66%	50
London Borough of Camden	1	1	40%	3	60%	5
London Borough of Croydon	9	0	35%	17	65%	26
London Borough of Ealing	2	0	13%	14	88%	16
London Borough of Enfield	8	0	47%	9	53%	17
London Borough of Greenwich	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
London Borough of Hackney	3	1	33%	8	67%	12
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
London Borough of Haringey	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
London Borough of Harrow	4	0	24%	13	76%	17
London Borough of Havering	6	0	27%	16	73%	22
London Borough of Hillingdon	12	2	36%	25	64%	39
London Borough of Hounslow	11	0	38%	18	62%	29
London Borough of Islington	1	0	17%	5	83%	6
London Borough of Lambeth	3	0	27%	8	73%	11
London Borough of Lewisham	7	0	78%	2	22%	9
London Borough of Merton	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
London Borough of Newham	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
London Borough of Redbridge	16	2	45%	22	55%	40
London Borough of Southwark	2	0	67%	1	33%	3

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Sutton	5	2	54%	6	46%	13
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
London Borough of Wandsworth	1	1	40%	3	60%	5
London Development Agency	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Maidstone Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Maldon District Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Malvern Hills District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Manchester City Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Mansfield District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Medway Council	7	2	47%	10	53%	19
Melton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	3	1	80%	1	20%	5
Mid Devon District Council	1	1	100%	0	0%	2
Mid Suffolk District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Mid Sussex District Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Milton Keynes Council	0	1	25%	3	75%	4
Mole Valley District Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
New Forest District Council	6	0	55%	5	45%	11
New Forest National Park Authority	2	2	57%	3	43%	7
Newark & Sherwood District Council	0	1	33%	2	67%	3
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	1	0	11%	8	89%	9
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	1	1	100%	0	0%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Norfolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North Dorset District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North East Derbyshire District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North East Lincolnshire Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
North Hertfordshire District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
North Kesteven District Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
North Lincolnshire Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
North Norfolk District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North Somerset Council	5	0	36%	9	64%	14
North Tyneside Council	6	0	60%	4	40%	10
Northumberland Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
North West Leicestershire District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Northumberland County Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Northumberland National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Norwich City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Nottingham City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	4	3	47%	8	53%	15

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	1	0	17%	5	83%	6
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Peak District National Park Authority	1	0	10%	9	90%	10
Pendle Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Peterborough City Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Plymouth City Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Preston City Council	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
Purbeck District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Reading Borough Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Ribble Valley Borough Council	10	0	40%	15	60%	25
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Rochford District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rossendale Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rother District Council	3	0	60%	2	40%	5
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	6	1	50%	7	50%	14
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	9	0	43%	12	57%	21
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	6	1	44%	9	56%	16

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Rugby Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Runnymede Borough Council	0	1	20%	4	80%	5
Rushcliffe Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	3	0	100%	0	0%	3
Ryedale District Council	3	0	60%	2	40%	5
Salford City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Salisbury District Council *	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Scarborough Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Sedgemoor District Council	4	0	100%	0	0%	4
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Selby District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Sevenoaks District Council	4	1	24%	16	76%	21
Sheffield City Council	4	0	31%	9	69%	13
Shepway District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	2	0	25%	6	75%	8
Slough Borough Council	3	0	33%	6	67%	9
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Somerset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	5	0	29%	12	71%	17
South Cambridgeshire District Council	3	0	27%	8	73%	11
South Derbyshire District Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
South Gloucestershire Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
South Hams District Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
South Holland District Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
South Kesteven District Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
South Lakeland District Council	2	1	60%	2	40%	5
South Norfolk District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
South Northamptonshire District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
South Oxfordshire District Council	2	0	15%	11	85%	13
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Somerset District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
South Staffordshire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Tyneside Council	3	1	36%	7	64%	11
Southampton City Council	1	1	22%	7	78%	9
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	1	1	40%	3	60%	5
Spelthorne Borough Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
St Albans City Council	7	1	36%	14	64%	22
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Stafford Borough Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Stroud District Council	3	1	57%	3	43%	7
Suffolk Coastal District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Suffolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	4	1	56%	4	44%	9
Surrey County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Surrey Heath Borough Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Swale Borough Council	2	0	25%	6	75%	8
Swindon Borough Council	5	0	50%	5	50%	10
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Tandridge District Council	2	2	25%	12	75%	16
Taunton Deane Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Teignbridge District Council	1	0	11%	8	89%	9
Telford and Wrekin Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Tendring District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Test Valley Borough Council	4	1	71%	2	29%	7
Tewkesbury Borough Council	4	0	44%	5	56%	9
Thanet District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Three Rivers District Council	1	1	29%	5	71%	7
Thurrock Borough Council	3	1	67%	2	33%	6
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Torbay Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
Torridge District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	5	1	40%	9	60%	15
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	5	0	56%	4	44%	9
Uttlesford District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Vale of White Horse District Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	3	1	29%	10	71%	14
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	4	0	44%	5	56%	9
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Warrington Borough Council	4	0	31%	9	69%	13
Warwick District Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Waveney District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Waverley Borough Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Wealden District Council	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	1	100%	0	0%	1
Welwyn Hatfield Council	11	0	58%	8	42%	19
West Berkshire District Council	5	0	45%	6	55%	11
West Devon Borough Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
West Dorset District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
West Lancashire District Council	2	1	50%	3	50%	6
West Lindsey District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
West Somerset District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
West Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	3	0	30%	7	70%	10
Wiltshire Council	9	0	45%	11	55%	20
Winchester City Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.2 - Householder appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
Woking Borough Council	2	1	38%	5	63%	8
Wokingham Borough Council	7	1	40%	12	60%	20
Wolverhampton City Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
Worcester City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Wychavon District Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
Wycombe District Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
Wyre Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Wyre Forest District Council	0	0	0%	6	100%	6
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	1	0	50%	1	50%	2

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Amber Valley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Arun District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Ashfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ashford Borough Council	1	0	17%	5	83%	6
Aylesbury Vale District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Babergh District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Basildon District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Bassetlaw District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Bath and North East Somerset Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Bedford Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	-	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Blaby District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Blackpool Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bolsover District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Borough of Poole Council	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
Boston Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Bournemouth Borough Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Braintree District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Breckland Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Brentwood Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Brighton and Hove City Council	4	1	45%	6	55%	11
Bristol City Council	7	0	41%	10	59%	17
Broadland District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Broads Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bromsgrove District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Broxbourne Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Broxtowe Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Cambridge City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Canterbury City Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Carlisle City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Carrick District Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Central Bedfordshire	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Charnwood Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Chelmsford Borough Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Cheltenham Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cherwell District Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Cheshire East Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cheshire West and Chester Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Chesterfield Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Chichester District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Chiltern District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Chorley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Christchurch Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
City of Lincoln Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of London Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Westminster Council	5	0	16%	26	84%	31
City of York Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Colchester Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Corby Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cornwall Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	2	0	22%	7	78%	9
Coventry City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Craven District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Crawley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	3	2	63%	3	38%	8
Darlington Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dartford Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Dartmoor National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Daventry District Council	0	1	33%	2	67%	3
Derby City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Devon County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dorset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dover District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Durham Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Devon District Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
East Dorset District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
East Hampshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Hertfordshire District Council	1	0	17%	5	83%	6
East Lindsey District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
East Northamptonshire District Council	1	0	13%	7	88%	8
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
East Staffordshire Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
Eastleigh Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eden District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Elmbridge Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Epping Forest District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Erewash Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Essex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Exeter City Council	0	0	0%	7	100%	7
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Fenland District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Forest Heath District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Forest of Dean District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fylde Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	1	1	100%	0	0%	2
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gloucester City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Gravesham Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Guildford Borough Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Halton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hambleton District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Hampshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Harborough District Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Harlow District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Harrogate Borough Council	2	0	25%	6	75%	8
Hart District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Hastings Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Havant Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Herefordshire Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hertsmere Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
High Peak Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Horsham District Council	0	1	33%	2	67%	3
Huntingdonshire District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ipswich Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Isle of Wight Council	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
Kent County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kettering Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancashire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leeds City Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Leicester City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Lichfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Barnet	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
London Borough of Bexley	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Brent	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
London Borough of Bromley	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
London Borough of Camden	7	0	44%	9	56%	16
London Borough of Croydon	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
London Borough of Ealing	3	0	60%	2	40%	5
London Borough of Enfield	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Greenwich	1	2	50%	3	50%	6
London Borough of Hackney	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Haringey	4	0	44%	5	56%	9
London Borough of Harrow	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Havering	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Hillingdon	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
London Borough of Hounslow	3	2	83%	1	17%	6
London Borough of Islington	6	1	47%	8	53%	15
London Borough of Lambeth	1	0	13%	7	88%	8
London Borough of Lewisham	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
London Borough of Merton	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Newham	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Redbridge	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
London Borough of Southwark	5	1	55%	5	45%	11

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Sutton	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
London Borough of Wandsworth	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
London Development Agency	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Maidstone Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Maldon District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Malvern Hills District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Manchester City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Mansfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Medway Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Melton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Mid Devon District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Mid Suffolk District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Mid Sussex District Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Milton Keynes Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Mole Valley District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
New Forest District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
New Forest National Park Authority	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Newark & Sherwood District Council	3	0	100%	0	0%	3
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Norfolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
North Dorset District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North East Derbyshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North East Lincolnshire Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Hertfordshire District Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
North Kesteven District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Lincolnshire Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
North Norfolk District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Somerset Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
North Tyneside Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Northumberland Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North West Leicestershire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northumberland County Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Northumberland National Park Authority	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Norwich City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Nottingham City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	1	1	100%	0	0%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Peak District National Park Authority	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Pendle Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Peterborough City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Plymouth City Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Preston City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Purbeck District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Reading Borough Council	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ribble Valley Borough Council	0	1	20%	4	80%	5
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rochford District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rossendale Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Rother District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	6	0	32%	13	68%	19
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	1	0	100%	0	0%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Rugby Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Runnymede Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rushcliffe Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
Ryedale District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Salford City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Salisbury District Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Sedgemoor District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Selby District Council	0	1	25%	3	75%	4
Sevenoaks District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Sheffield City Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
Shepway District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Slough Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Somerset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Cambridgeshire District Council	5	0	56%	4	44%	9
South Derbyshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Gloucestershire Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
South Hams District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
South Holland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Kesteven District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
South Lakeland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Norfolk District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
South Northamptonshire District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
South Oxfordshire District Council	2	0	33%	4	67%	6
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Somerset District Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
South Staffordshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Tyneside Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Southampton City Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Spelthorne Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
St Albans City Council	0	0	0%	6	100%	6
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stafford Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Stroud District Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Suffolk Coastal District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Suffolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Surrey County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Surrey Heath Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Swale Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Swindon Borough Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tandridge District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Taunton Deane Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Teignbridge District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Telford and Wrekin Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Tendring District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Test Valley Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Tewkesbury Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Thanet District Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Three Rivers District Council	1	0	17%	5	83%	6
Thurrock Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Torbay Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
Torrington District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Uttlesford District Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Vale of White Horse District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Warrington Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Warwick District Council	3	1	80%	1	20%	5
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Waveney District Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
Waverley Borough Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
Wealden District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Welwyn Hatfield Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
West Berkshire District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
West Devon Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
West Dorset District Council	3	0	38%	5	63%	8
West Lancashire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
West Lindsey District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0%	5	100%	5
West Somerset District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
West Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wiltshire Council	8	0	25%	24	75%	32
Winchester City Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.3 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% Allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Woking Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wokingham Borough Council	1	1	67%	1	33%	3
Wolverhampton City Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Worcester City Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wychavon District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Wycombe District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wyre Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wyre Forest District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	1	1	67%	1	33%	3

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Amber Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Arun District Council	0	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Ashfield District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Ashford Borough Council	1	1	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Aylesbury Vale District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Babergh District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Basildon District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Bassetlaw District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Bath and North East Somerset Council	0	1	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Bedford Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Birmingham City Council	5	1	2	0	47%	9	0	53%	17
Blaby District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	1	1	0	0	40%	3	0	60%	5
Blackpool Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bolsover District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	4	100%	7
Borough of Poole Council	0	1	0	0	25%	2	1	75%	4
Boston Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Bournemouth Borough Council	1	0	0	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Braintree District Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Breckland Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Brentwood Borough Council	1	0	1	0	67%	1	0	33%	3

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Brighton and Hove City Council	1	1	0	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Bristol City Council	2	0	1	1	33%	6	2	67%	12
Broadland District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broads Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bromsgrove District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broxbourne Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Broxtowe Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	6	0	100%	6
Cambridge City Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Canterbury City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Carlisle City Council	1	0	0	0	20%	4	0	80%	5
Carrick District Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Central Bedfordshire	0	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Charnwood Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Chelmsford Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Cheltenham Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cherwell District Council	1	0	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Cheshire East Council	1	0	1	0	40%	3	0	60%	5
Cheshire West and Chester Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Chesterfield Borough Council	1	1	0	2	44%	3	2	56%	9
Chichester District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Chiltern District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Chorley Borough Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Christchurch Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	3	5	0	1	35%	8	9	65%	26
City of Lincoln Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
City of London Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of Westminster Council	2	1	1	1	23%	15	2	77%	22
City of York Council	0	1	1	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Colchester Borough Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Corby Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cornwall Council	1	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Coventry City Council	2	1	0	0	27%	8	0	73%	11
Craven District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Crawley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	1	1	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Darlington Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dartford Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Dartmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Daventry District Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Derby City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Devon County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Dorset County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dover District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	3	0	1	0	36%	7	0	64%	11
Durham Council	1	1	1	0	60%	2	0	40%	5
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Devon District Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
East Dorset District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Hampshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Hertfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
East Lindsey District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
East Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	1	0	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
East Staffordshire Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Sussex County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Eastleigh Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Eden District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Elmbridge Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Epping Forest District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Erewash Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Essex County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Exeter City Council	2	0	0	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fenland District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Forest Heath District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Forest of Dean District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fylde Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	1	1	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Gloucester City Council	4	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	4
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gravesham Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Guildford Borough Council	0	1	2	0	75%	1	0	25%	4
Halton Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hambleton District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Hampshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harborough District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Harlow District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harrogate Borough Council	0	0	1	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Hart District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hastings Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Havant Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Herefordshire Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hertsmere Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
High Peak Borough Council	0	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Horsham District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Huntingdonshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ipswich Borough Council	0	0	3	0	75%	1	0	25%	4
Isle of Wight Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Kent County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Kettering Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	2	1	0	0	38%	5	0	63%	8
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lancashire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Leeds City Council	2	1	1	0	21%	15	0	79%	19
Leicester City Council	2	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lichfield District Council	3	0	0	0	75%	1	0	25%	4
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	0	1	0	0	14%	6	0	86%	7
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Barnet	1	0	2	0	21%	11	0	79%	14
London Borough of Bexley	0	0	0	0	0%	5	1	100%	6
London Borough of Brent	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
London Borough of Bromley	4	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	4
London Borough of Camden	3	0	1	0	50%	4	0	50%	8
London Borough of Croydon	1	1	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
London Borough of Ealing	0	0	3	0	50%	3	0	50%	6
London Borough of Enfield	2	0	1	0	60%	2	0	40%	5
London Borough of Greenwich	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
London Borough of Hackney	0	0	2	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	0	1	1	0	18%	9	0	82%	11
London Borough of Haringey	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
London Borough of Harrow	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Havering	0	0	1	0	13%	7	0	88%	8
London Borough of Hillingdon	0	1	0	0	25%	3	0	75%	4

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Hounslow	0	0	2	0	17%	10	0	83%	12
London Borough of Islington	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
London Borough of Lambeth	0	0	2	0	25%	6	0	75%	8
London Borough of Lewisham	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Merton	1	0	1	0	33%	4	0	67%	6
London Borough of Newham	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Redbridge	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
London Borough of Southwark	1	2	1	3	25%	10	11	75%	28
London Borough of Sutton	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	1	0	1	0	29%	5	0	71%	7
London Borough of Wandsworth	0	0	0	0	0%	6	0	100%	6
London Development Agency	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Maidstone Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Maldon District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Malvern Hills District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Manchester City Council	2	0	4	0	40%	9	0	60%	15
Mansfield District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Medway Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Melton Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Mid Devon District Council	0	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Mid Suffolk District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mid Sussex District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Milton Keynes Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mole Valley District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
New Forest District Council	0	1	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
New Forest National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Newark & Sherwood District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	5	1	100%	6
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Norfolk County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North Dorset District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
North East Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North East Lincolnshire Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Hertfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North Kesteven District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Lincolnshire Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
North Norfolk District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North Somerset Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
North Tyneside Council	0	0	1	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Northumberland Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North West Leicestershire District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Northumberland County Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Northumberland National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Norwich City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Nottingham City Council	2	0	0	0	33%	4	0	67%	6
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Oxford City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Peak District National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Pendle Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Peterborough City Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Plymouth City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Preston City Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Purbeck District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Reading Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ribble Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	0	0	1	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	0	17%	5	0	83%	6
Rochford District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rossendale Borough Council	1	0	0	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Rother District Council	2	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	3
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	2	0	1	0	43%	3	1	57%	7
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rugby Borough Council	0	0	1	0	25%	3	0	75%	4

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Runnymede Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rushcliffe Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Ryedale District Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Salford City Council	0	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Salisbury District Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Sedgemoor District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	1	0	25%	6	0	75%	8
Selby District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sevenoaks District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Sheffield City Council	0	0	1	1	13%	5	9	88%	16
Shepway District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Slough Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Somerset County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	0	0	1	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
South Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Gloucestershire Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
South Hams District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Holland District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Kesteven District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Lakeland District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Norfolk District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
South Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
South Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Somerset District Council	0	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
South Staffordshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Tyneside Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Southampton City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Spelthorne Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
St Albans City Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Stafford Borough Council	1	0	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	1	1	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Stroud District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Suffolk Coastal District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Suffolk County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Surrey County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Surrey Heath Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Swale Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Swindon Borough Council	0	0	1	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tandridge District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Taunton Deane Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Teignbridge District Council	1	0	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Telford and Wrekin Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Tendring District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Test Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Tewkesbury Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Thanet District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Three Rivers District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Thurrock Borough Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Torbay Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Torridge District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Uttlesford District Council	0	0	1	3	57%	1	2	43%	7
Vale of White Horse District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	2	0	0	0	40%	3	0	60%	5
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	1	0	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Warrington Borough Council	0	0	1	0	20%	4	0	80%	5
Warwick District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Waveney District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Waverley Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.4 - Advertisement Consent appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	Allowed with Conditions	Notice quashed	% allowed	Dismissed	Notice upheld	% dismissed	Total
Wealden District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Welwyn Hatfield Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Berkshire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Devon Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Dorset District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
West Lancashire District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Lindsey District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
West Somerset District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Sussex County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Wiltshire Council	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Winchester City Council	0	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Woking Borough Council	0	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Wokingham Borough Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wolverhampton City Council	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Worcester City Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	2	0	0	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Wychavon District Council	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wycombe District Council	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Wyre Borough Council	1	0	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Wyre Forest District Council	1	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	1	0	0	25%	2	1	75%	4
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	6	1	100%	7
Amber Valley Borough Council	0	1	0	14%	2	4	86%	7
Arun District Council	1	0	1	25%	3	3	75%	8
Ashfield District Council	1	0	0	8%	5	7	92%	13
Ashford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Aylesbury Vale District Council	2	1	0	50%	2	1	50%	6
Babergh District Council	1	1	0	15%	8	3	85%	13
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	3	100%	3
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	2	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Basildon District Council	9	0	0	69%	0	4	31%	13
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	1	0	0	14%	5	1	86%	7
Bassetlaw District Council	2	0	3	71%	2	0	29%	7
Bath and North East Somerset Council	0	0	0	0%	3	4	100%	7
Bedford Borough Council	0	1	0	17%	5	0	83%	6
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	0	1	0	10%	5	4	90%	10
Blaby District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Blackpool Borough Council	3	0	0	21%	6	5	79%	14
Bolsover District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	4	100%	6
Borough of Poole Council	1	1	3	42%	0	7	58%	12
Boston Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bournemouth Borough Council	3	2	0	24%	11	5	76%	21
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	5	1	100%	6
Braintree District Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Breckland Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Brentwood Borough Council	1	1	0	40%	0	3	60%	5
Brighton and Hove City Council	2	0	0	22%	4	3	78%	9
Bristol City Council	0	1	0	8%	9	2	92%	12
Broadland District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broads Authority	0	0	2	100%	0	0	0%	2
Bromsgrove District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broxbourne Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	4	100%	4
Broxtowe Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	1	2	0	75%	1	0	25%	4
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	4	5	100%	9
Cambridge City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Canterbury City Council	3	3	0	50%	5	1	50%	12
Carlisle City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Carrick District Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Central Bedfordshire	0	3	1	27%	7	4	73%	15
Charnwood Borough Council	2	1	0	30%	5	2	70%	10
Chelmsford Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3
Cheltenham Borough Council	2	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	3
Cherwell District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	3	100%	5
Cheshire East Council	0	0	0	0%	6	4	100%	10
Cheshire West and Chester Council	4	1	1	23%	15	5	77%	26

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Chesterfield Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Chichester District Council	8	1	0	39%	5	9	61%	23
Chiltern District Council	4	1	0	42%	3	4	58%	12
Chorley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Christchurch Borough Council	2	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	3	3	0	16%	18	13	84%	37
City of Lincoln Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of London Council	0	0	0	0%	0	7	100%	7
City of Westminster Council	3	4	2	26%	19	6	74%	34
City of York Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Colchester Borough Council	1	0	0	14%	4	2	86%	7
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Corby Borough Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Cornwall Council	4	6	2	29%	13	17	71%	42
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	1	2	0	38%	4	1	63%	8
Coventry City Council	3	0	0	21%	4	7	79%	14
Craven District Council	0	0	0	0%	5	1	100%	6
Crawley Borough Council	0	3	0	60%	2	0	40%	5
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	2	0	0	29%	1	4	71%	7
Darlington Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Dartford Borough Council	1	1	0	50%	1	1	50%	4
Dartmoor National Park Authority	2	26	0	74%	4	6	26%	38
Daventry District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Derby City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	2	0	0	33%	1	3	67%	6
Devon County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	10	0	0	63%	2	4	38%	16
Dorset County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dover District Council	1	0	0	13%	4	3	88%	8
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	14%	4	2	86%	7
Durham Council	3	1	0	36%	4	3	64%	11
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
East Devon District Council	0	1	0	10%	7	2	90%	10
East Dorset District Council	0	2	0	15%	8	3	85%	13
East Hampshire District Council	0	3	0	60%	1	1	40%	5
East Hertfordshire District Council	3	1	0	22%	7	7	78%	18
East Lindsey District Council	2	0	1	60%	0	2	40%	5
East Northamptonshire District Council	2	1	0	27%	3	5	73%	11
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	7	2	0	53%	4	4	47%	17
East Staffordshire Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
East Sussex County Council	0	2	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Eastbourne Borough Council	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Eastleigh Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Eden District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	3	100%	3
Elmbridge Borough Council	1	2	0	60%	0	2	40%	5
Epping Forest District Council	0	0	1	13%	5	2	88%	8
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	0	0	1	14%	5	1	86%	7
Erewash Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Essex County Council	3	0	0	75%	1	0	25%	4
Exeter City Council	8	0	0	73%	1	2	27%	11

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fenland District Council	1	0	0	14%	2	4	86%	7
Forest Heath District Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Forest of Dean District Council	1	1	1	23%	5	5	77%	13
Fylde Borough Council	2	0	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	1	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Gloucester City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gravesham Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	4	100%	5
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Guildford Borough Council	1	2	1	36%	3	4	64%	11
Halton Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4
Hambleton District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Hampshire County Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Harborough District Council	1	0	0	14%	2	4	86%	7
Harlow District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harrogate Borough Council	4	2	0	30%	6	8	70%	20
Hart District Council	3	1	0	44%	0	5	56%	9
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Hastings Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Havant Borough Council	1	0	0	20%	2	2	80%	5
Herefordshire Council	1	2	2	56%	1	3	44%	9
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hertsmere Borough Council	0	5	0	56%	2	2	44%	9

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
High Peak Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Horsham District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	4	100%	5
Huntingdonshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Ipswich Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Isle of Wight Council	1	0	0	7%	8	6	93%	15
Kent County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Kettering Borough Council	1	1	2	36%	1	6	64%	11
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	3	0	33%	4	2	67%	9
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	0	1	0	14%	2	4	86%	7
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Lancashire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3
Leeds City Council	5	7	0	39%	13	6	61%	31
Leicester City Council	0	0	1	9%	7	3	91%	11
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	0	1	0	25%	0	3	75%	4
Lichfield District Council	2	0	0	50%	1	1	50%	4
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	0	0	0	0%	3	1	100%	4
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	1	0	25%	2	1	75%	4
London Borough of Barnet	12	0	1	28%	19	14	72%	46
London Borough of Bexley	0	1	0	9%	7	3	91%	11
London Borough of Brent	5	5	2	22%	24	19	78%	55

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Bromley	7	8	3	45%	10	12	55%	40
London Borough of Camden	0	0	12	38%	11	9	63%	32
London Borough of Croydon	5	1	1	39%	8	3	61%	18
London Borough of Ealing	5	5	2	38%	11	9	63%	32
London Borough of Enfield	7	3	0	48%	6	5	52%	21
London Borough of Greenwich	0	0	0	0%	4	2	100%	6
London Borough of Hackney	4	4	5	33%	12	15	68%	40
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	2	0	0	11%	13	3	89%	18
London Borough of Haringey	4	8	1	29%	17	15	71%	45
London Borough of Harrow	2	0	0	13%	13	1	88%	16
London Borough of Havering	2	0	2	31%	6	3	69%	13
London Borough of Hillingdon	4	1	1	27%	11	5	73%	22
London Borough of Hounslow	5	9	1	20%	35	25	80%	75
London Borough of Islington	0	1	1	7%	9	16	93%	27
London Borough of Lambeth	2	1	3	29%	9	6	71%	21
London Borough of Lewisham	1	3	0	25%	10	2	75%	16
London Borough of Merton	3	0	0	18%	3	11	82%	17
London Borough of Newham	1	1	2	9%	25	15	91%	44
London Borough of Redbridge	1	5	0	24%	14	5	76%	25
London Borough of Southwark	0	2	1	15%	8	9	85%	20
London Borough of Sutton	1	0	0	11%	7	1	89%	9
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	1	0	0	9%	8	2	91%	11
London Borough of Wandsworth	4	3	0	32%	8	7	68%	22
London Development Agency	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	1	0	0	7%	9	5	93%	15
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Maidstone Borough Council	2	1	0	20%	2	10	80%	15
Maldon District Council	4	1	0	50%	0	5	50%	10
Malvern Hills District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Manchester City Council	0	0	0	0%	4	1	100%	5
Mansfield District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Medway Council	2	0	0	22%	2	5	78%	9
Melton Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	3	0	1	67%	2	0	33%	6
Mid Devon District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	3	100%	4
Mid Suffolk District Council	0	2	0	20%	4	4	80%	10
Mid Sussex District Council	1	2	0	38%	1	4	63%	8
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Milton Keynes Council	0	1	0	25%	1	2	75%	4
Mole Valley District Council	3	0	0	20%	2	10	80%	15
New Forest District Council	3	1	1	33%	8	2	67%	15
New Forest National Park Authority	0	2	1	60%	1	1	40%	5
Newark & Sherwood District Council	2	0	0	22%	4	3	78%	9
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	0	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Norfolk County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	1	0	1	50%	0	2	50%	4
North Dorset District Council	2	2	1	28%	1	12	72%	18
North East Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
North East Lincolnshire Council	3	0	0	43%	4	0	57%	7
North Hertfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
North Kesteven District Council	1	0	0	33%	0	2	67%	3

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
North Lincolnshire Council	2	0	0	50%	0	2	50%	4
North Norfolk District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Somerset Council	0	0	0	0%	5	1	100%	6
North Tyneside Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northumberland Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	6
North West Leicestershire District Council	0	1	0	8%	0	11	92%	12
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Northumberland County Council	1	0	0	11%	4	4	89%	9
Northumberland National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Norwich City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3
Nottingham City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	2	0	40%	0	3	60%	5
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	3	0	0	21%	1	10	79%	14
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Peak District National Park Authority	0	1	0	7%	5	8	93%	14
Pendle Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	3	1	100%	4
Peterborough City Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Plymouth City Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Preston City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Purbeck District Council	2	0	0	20%	2	6	80%	10
Reading Borough Council	1	0	1	11%	12	5	89%	19
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	3	0	0	33%	5	1	67%	9
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ribble Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	3	3	0	29%	7	8	71%	21
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	1	100%	0	0	0%	1
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	2	67%	1	0	33%	3
Rochford District Council	0	1	0	9%	3	7	91%	11
Rossendale Borough Council	0	2	0	25%	0	6	75%	8
Rother District Council	6	2	1	43%	7	5	57%	21
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	14%	3	3	86%	7
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	2	4	1	24%	17	5	76%	29
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	1	0	0	11%	5	3	89%	9
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	4	1	1	50%	5	1	50%	12
Rugby Borough Council	2	0	0	50%	0	2	50%	4
Runnymede Borough Council	0	1	0	10%	8	1	90%	10
Rushcliffe Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	1	0	0	50%	0	1	50%	2
Ryedale District Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Salford City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Salisbury District Council *	1	1	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	2	1	1	80%	1	0	20%	5
Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Sedgemoor District Council	2	0	0	10%	14	4	90%	20
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	1	15%	6	5	85%	13
Selby District Council	1	2	0	100%	0	0	0%	3
Sevenoaks District Council	2	1	0	27%	4	4	73%	11
Sheffield City Council	0	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4
Shepway District Council	1	0	0	50%	0	1	50%	2
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	3	0	0	15%	6	11	85%	20
Slough Borough Council	2	0	0	50%	2	0	50%	4
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Somerset County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
South Cambridgeshire District Council	2	0	1	50%	1	2	50%	6
South Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	3	100%	4
South Gloucestershire Council	1	2	1	24%	3	10	76%	17
South Hams District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
South Holland District Council	1	0	0	10%	6	3	90%	10
South Kesteven District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
South Lakeland District Council	1	0	0	20%	2	2	80%	5
South Norfolk District Council	0	2	0	50%	0	2	50%	4
South Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
South Oxfordshire District Council	1	1	1	9%	14	17	91%	34
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
South Somerset District Council	0	0	0	0%	7	3	100%	10
South Staffordshire District Council	0	1	0	11%	8	0	89%	9
South Tyneside Council	1	0	0	33%	1	1	67%	3
Southampton City Council	3	1	0	40%	3	3	60%	10

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	0	1	0	9%	6	4	91%	11
Spelthorne Borough Council	2	2	0	57%	3	0	43%	7
St Albans City Council	6	3	1	45%	5	7	55%	22
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Stafford Borough Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	1	0	0	7%	5	8	93%	14
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	2	4	0	75%	2	0	25%	8
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	1	0	0	25%	2	1	75%	4
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	0	0	0	0%	8	1	100%	9
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	1	0	0	14%	4	2	86%	7
Stroud District Council	2	0	0	12%	10	5	88%	17
Suffolk Coastal District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	3	100%	5
Suffolk County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Surrey County Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Surrey Heath Borough Council	2	0	0	33%	0	4	67%	6
Swale Borough Council	2	5	0	6%	93	11	94%	111
Swindon Borough Council	6	0	0	75%	1	1	25%	8
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	25%	3	0	75%	4
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tandridge District Council	3	2	0	24%	4	12	76%	21
Taunton Deane Borough Council	1	0	0	17%	2	3	83%	6
Teignbridge District Council	2	0	0	29%	1	4	71%	7
Telford and Wrekin Council	3	0	0	50%	2	1	50%	6

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Tendring District Council	0	0	0	0%	8	10	100%	18
Test Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tewkesbury Borough Council	3	0	0	75%	0	1	25%	4
Thanet District Council	1	0	1	25%	2	4	75%	8
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Three Rivers District Council	4	0	0	33%	4	4	67%	12
Thurrock Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	0	1	0	50%	0	1	50%	2
Torbay Council	1	0	0	33%	1	1	67%	3
Torrington District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	2	100%	3
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	0	1	0	50%	0	1	50%	2
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	2	1	0	38%	2	3	63%	8
Uttlesford District Council	2	0	0	18%	6	3	82%	11
Vale of White Horse District Council	0	0	1	11%	0	8	89%	9
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	0	1	0	20%	2	2	80%	5
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	4	0	0	44%	4	1	56%	9
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	0	3	2	16%	15	12	84%	32
Warrington Borough Council	1	0	0	20%	4	0	80%	5
Warwick District Council	1	0	0	17%	0	5	83%	6
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Waveney District Council	0	1	0	50%	0	1	50%	2
Waverley Borough Council	2	2	0	20%	9	7	80%	20
Wealden District Council	5	0	1	43%	5	3	57%	14
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Welwyn Hatfield Council	0	2	0	40%	0	3	60%	5

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.5 - Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
West Berkshire District Council	0	7	0	44%	3	6	56%	16
West Devon Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
West Dorset District Council	2	2	0	44%	2	3	56%	9
West Lancashire District Council	5	0	0	25%	10	5	75%	20
West Lindsey District Council	1	1	1	60%	2	0	40%	5
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	4	100%	4
West Somerset District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
West Sussex County Council	1	0	0	33%	0	2	67%	3
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	2	0	0	67%	0	1	33%	3
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Wiltshire Council	2	7	0	36%	11	5	64%	25
Winchester City Council	3	4	2	36%	12	4	64%	25
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Woking Borough Council	2	1	0	50%	1	2	50%	6
Wokingham Borough Council	4	2	0	30%	11	3	70%	20
Wolverhampton City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	3	100%	4
Worcester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	4	2	0	43%	5	3	57%	14
Wychavon District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wycombe District Council	3	0	0	13%	3	17	87%	23
Wyre Borough Council	0	0	1	25%	2	1	75%	4
Wyre Forest District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Amber Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Arun District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Ashfield District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ashford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Aylesbury Vale District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Babergh District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Basildon District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bassetlaw District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bath and North East Somerset Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bedford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Blaby District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Blackpool Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bolsover District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Borough of Poole Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Boston Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bournemouth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Braintree District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Breckland Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Brentwood Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Brighton and Hove City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bristol City Council	0	1	0	50%	1	0	50%	2
Broadland District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broads Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bromsgrove District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broxbourne Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Broxtowe Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Cambridge City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Canterbury City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Carlisle City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Carrick District Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Central Bedfordshire	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Charnwood Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Chelmsford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cheltenham Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Cherwell District Council	0	0	2	50%	0	2	50%	4
Cheshire East Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Cheshire West and Chester Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Chesterfield Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Chichester District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Chiltern District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Chorley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Christchurch Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	2	0	0	50%	1	1	50%	4
City of Lincoln Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of London Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
City of Westminster Council	0	0	0	0%	9	5	100%	14
City of York Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Colchester Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Corby Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cornwall Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Coventry City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Craven District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Crawley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Darlington Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dartford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dartmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Daventry District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Derby City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Devon County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
Dorset County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dover District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Durham Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Devon District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Dorset District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Hampshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Hertfordshire District Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
East Lindsey District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Northamptonshire District Council	1	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Staffordshire Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
East Sussex County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Eastleigh Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Eden District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Elmbridge Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Epping Forest District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Erewash Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Essex County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Exeter City Council	2	0	0	40%	2	1	60%	5

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fenland District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Forest Heath District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Forest of Dean District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Fylde Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gloucester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Gravesham Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Guildford Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Halton Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hambleton District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hampshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harborough District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harlow District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Harrogate Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
Hart District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hastings Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Havant Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Herefordshire Council	0	1	0	33%	1	1	67%	3
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hertsmere Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
High Peak Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Horsham District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Huntingdonshire District Council	0	0	2	100%	0	0	0%	2
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ipswich Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Isle of Wight Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Kent County Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Kettering Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lancashire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Leeds City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Leicester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Lichfield District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Barnet	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Bexley	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Brent	0	0	0	0%	0	4	100%	4

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Bromley	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Camden	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Croydon	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Ealing	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Enfield	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Greenwich	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Hackney	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Haringey	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Harrow	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Havering	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Hillingdon	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Hounslow	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Islington	0	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4
London Borough of Lambeth	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
London Borough of Lewisham	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Merton	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Newham	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Redbridge	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Southwark	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
London Borough of Sutton	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Borough of Wandsworth	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Development Agency	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Maidstone Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Maldon District Council	0	2	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Malvern Hills District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Manchester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mansfield District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Medway Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Melton Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	0	2	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Mid Devon District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Mid Suffolk District Council	0	0	0	0%	4	0	100%	4
Mid Sussex District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Milton Keynes Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Mole Valley District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
New Forest District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
New Forest National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Newark & Sherwood District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Norfolk County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Devon District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Dorset District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North East Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North East Lincolnshire Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Hertfordshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Kesteven District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
North Lincolnshire Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North Norfolk District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Somerset Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
North Tyneside Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northumberland Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Warwickshire Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North West Leicestershire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northumberland County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Northumberland National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Norwich City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Nottingham City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Peak District National Park Authority	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Pendle Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Peterborough City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Plymouth City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Preston City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Purbeck District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Reading Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ribble Valley Borough Council	2	0	0	100%	0	0	0%	2
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rochford District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rossendale Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rother District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rugby Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Runnymede Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rushcliffe Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Ryedale District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Salford City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Salisbury District Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Sedgemoor District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Selby District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sevenoaks District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sheffield City Council	0	2	0	67%	1	0	33%	3
Shepway District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	0	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4
Slough Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Somerset County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
South Derbyshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Gloucestershire Council	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
South Hams District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Holland District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Kesteven District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Lakeland District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Norfolk District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Somerset District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
South Staffordshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
South Tyneside Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Southampton City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Spelthorne Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
St Albans City Council	0	0	1	100%	0	0	0%	1
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stafford Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	0	0	0	0%	3	0	100%	3
Stevenage Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Stroud District Council	0	0	0	0%	2	1	100%	3
Suffolk Coastal District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2
Suffolk County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Surrey County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Surrey Heath Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Swale Borough Council	0	0	1	100%	0	0	0%	1
Swindon Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tandridge District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Taunton Deane Borough Council	1	1	0	40%	3	0	60%	5
Teignbridge District Council	0	0	0	0%	1	0	100%	1
Telford and Wrekin Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
Tendring District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Test Valley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tewkesbury Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Thanet District Council	1	1	0	33%	4	0	67%	6
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Three Rivers District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Thurrock Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Torbay Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Torrige District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Uttlesford District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Vale of White Horse District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Warrington Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Warwick District Council	1	0	0	33%	2	0	67%	3
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Waveney District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Waverley Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wealden District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Welwyn Hatfield Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.6 - Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals

2009/10	Planning Permission Granted	Quashed on legal grounds	Split decision	% allowed	Notice upheld	Notice varied and upheld	% dismissed	Total
West Berkshire District Council	0	0	0	0%	0	2	100%	2
West Devon Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
West Dorset District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Lancashire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Lindsey District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Somerset District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
West Sussex County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wiltshire Council	0	0	0	0%	2	0	100%	2
Winchester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0	0%	0	1	100%	1
Woking Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wokingham Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wolverhampton City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worcester City Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wychavon District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wycombe District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wyre Borough Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Wyre Forest District Council	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	0	1	0	100%	0	0	0%	1

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Adur District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Allerdale Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Amber Valley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Arun District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ashfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ashford Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Aylesbury Vale District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Babergh District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Barrow-in-Furness Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Basildon District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bassetlaw District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Bath and North East Somerset Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bedford Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Berwick-Upon-Tweed Borough Council*	0	0	-	0	-	0
Birmingham City Council	0	0	0%	4	100%	4
Blaby District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Blackpool Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bolsover District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Borough of Poole Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Boston Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bournemouth Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Braintree District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Breckland Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Brentwood Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Brighton and Hove City Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Bristol City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Broadland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Broads Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bromsgrove District Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
Broxbourne Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Broxtowe Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Buckinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Burnley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Cambridge City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cambridgeshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Cannock Chase District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Canterbury City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Carlisle City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Carrick District Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Castle Point Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Central Bedfordshire	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Charnwood Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Chelmsford Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cheltenham Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Cherwell District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cheshire East Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Cheshire West and Chester Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Chesterfield Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Chichester District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Chiltern District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Chorley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Christchurch Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
City of Lincoln Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of London Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
City of Westminster Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
City of York Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Colchester Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Copeland Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Corby Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cornwall Council	1	0	20%	4	80%	5
Cornwall County Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cotswold District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Coventry City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Craven District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Crawley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Crewe and Nantwich Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Cumbria County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dacorum Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Darlington Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dartford Borough Council	0	1	33%	2	67%	3
Dartmoor National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Daventry District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derby City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derbyshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Derbyshire Dales District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Devon County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dorset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Dover District Council	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Durham Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Cambridgeshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Devon District Council	3	0	100%	0	0%	3
East Dorset District Council	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
East Hampshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Hertfordshire District Council	7	0	54%	6	46%	13
East Lindsey District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
East Northamptonshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
East Staffordshire Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
East Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eastbourne Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Eastleigh Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Eden District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Elmbridge Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Epping Forest District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Epsom and Ewell Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Erewash Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Essex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Exeter City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Exmoor National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fareham Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fenland District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Forest Heath District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Forest of Dean District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Fylde Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gedling Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gloucester City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Gloucestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gosport Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Gravesham Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Guildford Borough Council	3	0	75%	1	25%	4
Halton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hambleton District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hampshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Harborough District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Harlow District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Harrogate Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Hart District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hartlepool Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hastings Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Havant Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Herefordshire Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hertfordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hertsmere Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
High Peak Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Horsham District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Huntingdonshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Hyndburn Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ipswich Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Isle of Wight Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Kent County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kettering Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lake District National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancashire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lancaster City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leeds City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leicester City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Leicestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lewes District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lichfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Lincolnshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Liverpool City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Barnet	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
London Borough of Bexley	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Brent	1	1	40%	3	60%	5
London Borough of Bromley	2	0	50%	2	50%	4
London Borough of Camden	0	1	25%	3	75%	4
London Borough of Croydon	5	0	83%	1	17%	6
London Borough of Ealing	1	0	14%	6	86%	7
London Borough of Enfield	5	0	71%	2	29%	7
London Borough of Greenwich	1	0	100%	0	0%	1

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
London Borough of Hackney	1	1	50%	2	50%	4
London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Haringey	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Harrow	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Havering	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Hillingdon	1	1	14%	12	86%	14
London Borough of Hounslow	0	0	0%	6	100%	6
London Borough of Islington	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Lambeth	3	0	43%	4	57%	7
London Borough of Lewisham	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Merton	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Newham	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
London Borough of Redbridge	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
London Borough of Southwark	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
London Borough of Sutton	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
London Borough of Wandsworth	2	0	67%	1	33%	3
London Development Agency	0	0	-	0	-	0
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Luton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Macclesfield Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Maidstone Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Maldon District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Malvern Hills District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Manchester City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mansfield District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Medway Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Melton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mendip District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mid Devon District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mid Suffolk District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Mid Sussex District Council	1	0	25%	3	75%	4
Middlesbrough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Milton Keynes Council	4	0	100%	0	0%	4
Mole Valley District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
New Forest District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
New Forest National Park Authority	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Newark & Sherwood District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Norfolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northamptonshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
North Devon District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
North Dorset District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
North East Derbyshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North East Lincolnshire Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Hertfordshire District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North Kesteven District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Lincolnshire Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Norfolk District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Somerset Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
North Tyneside Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northumberland Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
North Warwickshire Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North West Leicestershire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
North York Moors National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
North Yorkshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northampton Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northumberland County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Northumberland National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Norwich City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Nottingham City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Nottinghamshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Olympic Delivery Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Oxford City Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Oxfordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Peak District National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0
Pendle Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Peterborough City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Plymouth City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Portsmouth City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Preston City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Purbeck District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Reading Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Redditch Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council	2	0	40%	3	60%	5
Restormel Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ribble Valley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	1	2	50%	3	50%	6
Richmondshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Rochford District Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Rossendale Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Rother District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead	1	0	33%	2	67%	3
Rugby Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Runnymede Borough Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Rushcliffe Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rushmoor Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Rutland County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Ryedale District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Salford City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Salisbury District Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Scarborough Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sedgemoor District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Selby District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Sevenoaks District Council	3	0	50%	3	50%	6
Sheffield City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shepway District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council *	0	0	-	0	-	0
Shropshire Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Slough Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Somerset County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Bucks District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Cambridgeshire District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
South Derbyshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Gloucestershire Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
South Hams District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Holland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Kesteven District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Lakeland District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Norfolk District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Northamptonshire District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
South Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
South Ribble Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
South Somerset District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
South Staffordshire District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
South Tyneside Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Southampton City Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Spelthorne Borough Council	0	1	50%	1	50%	2
St Albans City Council	5	0	63%	3	38%	8
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stafford Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Staffordshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Stevenage Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stroud District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Suffolk Coastal District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Suffolk County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Sunderland City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Surrey County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Surrey Heath Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Swale Borough Council	0	0	0%	3	100%	3
Swindon Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tamworth Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tandridge District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Taunton Deane Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Teignbridge District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Telford and Wrekin Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tendring District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Test Valley Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tewkesbury Borough Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
Thanet District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
The Isles of Scilly Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Three Rivers District Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Thurrock Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Thurrock Thames Gateway Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Torbay Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Torrige District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Uttlesford District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Vale of White Horse District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Warrington Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Warwick District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Warwickshire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Watford Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Waveney District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Waverley Borough Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Wealden District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wellingborough Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Welwyn Hatfield Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
West Berkshire District Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
West Devon Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Dorset District Council	0	0	0%	2	100%	2
West Lancashire District Council	2	0	100%	0	0%	2
West Lindsey District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	0	0	-	0	-	0

## Statistical Report: England - Decided appeals: by local planning authority

Table 6.7 - Lawful Development Certificate appeals

2009/10	Allowed	Split decision	% allowed	Dismissed	% dismissed	Total
West Oxfordshire District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Somerset District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
West Sussex County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Weymouth and Portland Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Wiltshire Council	0	1	20%	4	80%	5
Winchester City Council	1	0	50%	1	50%	2
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Woking Borough Council	0	0	0%	1	100%	1
Wokingham Borough Council	2	0	29%	5	71%	7
Wolverhampton City Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Worcester City Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Worcestershire County Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Worthing Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wychavon District Council	1	0	100%	0	0%	1
Wycombe District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wyre Borough Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Wyre Forest District Council	0	0	-	0	-	0
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	0	0	-	0	-	0

\* affected by the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009

# Statistical Report: England - Staffing

Table 7.1 - Staff Complement

(Excludes staff on career breaks and unpaid maternity leave)

2007/08: As at 31 March 2008	England based staff		Wales based staff		Total	
	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
Office Based Staff (Salaried)	445.39	476	20.96	22	466.35	498
Salaried Inspectors	276.29	335	15.81	21	292.1	356
Total Salaried	721.68	811	36.77	43	758.45	854
Fee Paid Inspectors	25.5	102	N/A	N/A	25.5	102
Total	747.18	913	36.77	43	783.95	956

2008/09: As at 31 March 2009	England based staff		Wales based staff		Total	
	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
Office Based Staff (Salaried)	438.5	472	19.9	22	458.4	494
Salaried Inspectors	266.1	337	15.5	20	281.6	357
Fee Paid Inspectors	50	100	0.4	1	50.4	101
Total Salaried	754.6	909	35.8	43	790.4	952


Note: Data for Fee Paid Inspectors is not available for 2008/09

2009/10: As at 31 March 2010	England based staff		Wales based staff		Total	
	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
Office Based Staff (Salaried)	444.10	479	22.00	24	466.10	503
Salaried Inspectors	240.00	300	15.20	19	255.20	319
Total Salaried	684.10	779	37.20	43	721.30	822
Fee Paid Inspectors	31.42	87	0.46	1	31.88	88
Total	715.52	866	37.66	44	753.18	910

# Statistical Report: England - Staffing

Table 7.2 - Distribution of Inspector Resources

Year	Planning	Enforcement	Development Plans	Wales	Other casework
2007-2008	60	14	10	5	11
2008-2009	65	13	7	5	10
2009-2010	53	17	10	6	14



## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Adur District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Allerdale Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Alnwick District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Amber Valley Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Arun District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Ashfield District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Ashford Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Aylesbury Vale District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Babergh District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Barrow-in- Furness Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Basildon District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bassetlaw District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Bath & North East Somerset Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bedford Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Bedford Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bedfordshire County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Berwick upon Tweed (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Birmingham City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Blaby District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Blackpool Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Blyth Valley Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Bolsover District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Borough of Poole Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Boston Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bournemouth Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bracknell Forest Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Braintree District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Breckland Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Brentwood Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Bridgnorth District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Brighton & Hove City Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bristol City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Broadland District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Broads Authority	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bromsgrove District Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Broxbourne Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Broxtowe Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Buckinghamshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Burnley Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bury Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Cambridge City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Cambridgeshire County Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cannock Chase District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Canterbury City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Caradon District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Carlisle City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carrick District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		
Castle Morpeth Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Castle Point Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central Bedfordshire (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Charnwood Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chelmsford Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cheltenham Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cherwell District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cheshire County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Cheshire East Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Cheshire West & Chester Council (from 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Chesterfield Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Chester-le-Street (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Chichester District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chiltern District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Chorley Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Christchurch Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Chester Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
City of Lincoln Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of London Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
City of Westminster Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
City of York Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colchester Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Congleton Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Copeland Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Corby Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Cornwall Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cornwall County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Cotswold District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Coventry City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Craven District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Crawley Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Crewe & Nantwich Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Cumbria County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Dacorum Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Darlington Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Dartford Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dartmoor National Park Authority	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Daventry District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Derby City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Derbyshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Derbyshire Dales District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Derwentside District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Devon County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-

# Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Dorset County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Dover District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Durham City Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Durham Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Durham County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Easington District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
East Cambridgeshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Devon District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
East Dorset District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Hampshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Hertfordshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
East Lindsey District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Northamptonshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
East Riding of Yorkshire Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Staffordshire Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
East Sussex County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Eastbourne Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Eastleigh Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Eden District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Ellesmere Port & Neston Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Elmbridge Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Epping Forest District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Epsom & Ewell Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Erewash Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Essex County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Exeter City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Exmoor National Park Authority	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Fareham Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fenland District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Forest Heath District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Forest of Dean District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fylde Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Gateshead Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gedling Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gloucester City Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gloucestershire County Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gosport Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Gravesham Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Guildford Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Halton Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Hambleton District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hampshire County Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harborough District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Harlow District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Harrogate Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Hart District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hartlepool Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hastings Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Havant Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Herefordshire Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Hertfordshire County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hertsmere Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
High Peak Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Horsham District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Huntingdonshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hyndburn Borough Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ipswich Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Isles of Scilly Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Isle of Wight Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Kennet District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Kent County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kerrier District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Kettering Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
King's Lynn & West Norfolk Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Kingston-Upon-Hull City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kirklees Metropolitan Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Lake District National Park Authority	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Lancashire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Lancaster City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Leeds City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Leicester City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Leicestershire County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lewes District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Lichfield District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Lincolnshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Liverpool City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Barking & Dagenham Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Barnet	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Bexley	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Brent	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Bromley	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Camden	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Croydon	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Ealing	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Enfield	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Greenwich	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Hackney	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham	-	-	-	-	-	-
London Borough of Haringey	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Harrow	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Havering	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
London Borough of Hillingdon	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Hounslow	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Islington	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Lambeth	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Lewisham	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Merton	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Newham	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Redbridge	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Southwark	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
London Borough of Sutton	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
London Borough of Wandsworth	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
London Thames Gateway Development Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Luton Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Macclesfield Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Maidstone Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Maldon District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Malvern Hills District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Manchester City Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mansfield District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Medway Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Melton Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mendip District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Mid Bedfordshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Mid Devon District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mid Suffolk District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mid Sussex District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Middlesbrough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Milton Keynes Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Milton Keynes Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mole Valley District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
New Forest District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
New Forest National Park Authority	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Newark & Sherwood District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Norfolk County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
North Cornwall District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		
North Devon District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Dorset District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
North East Derbyshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
North East Lincolnshire Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
North Hertfordshire District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Kesteven District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Lincolnshire Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Norfolk District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Shropshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
North Somerset Council	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
North Tyneside Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Warwickshire Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
North West Leicestershire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Wiltshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
North York Moors National Park Authority	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Yorkshire County Council	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northampton Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Northamptonshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Northumberland Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Northumberland County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Northumberland National Park Authority	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Norwich City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Nottingham City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Nottinghamshire County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nuneaton & Bedworth Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Oadby & Wigston Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Olympic Delivery Authority	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oswestry District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Oxford City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oxfordshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Peak District National Park Authority	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pendle Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Penwith District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Peterborough City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Plymouth City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Portsmouth City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Preston City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Purbeck District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Reading Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Redditch Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Reigate & Banstead District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Restormel District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		
Ribble Valley Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Richmond Upon Thames London Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richmondshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Rochford District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rossendale Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Rother District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Rugby Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Runnymede Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Rushcliffe Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Rushmoor Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rutland County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ryedale District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Salford City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Salisbury District Council (to 3/03/2009)	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Scarborough Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sedgefield District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Sedgemoor District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Selby District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sevenoaks District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sheffield City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Shepway District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Shrewsbury & Atcham Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Shropshire Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Shropshire County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Slough Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Somerset County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Bedfordshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
South Bucks District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Cambridgeshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Derbyshire District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
South Gloucestershire Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Hams District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
South Holland District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Kesteven District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Lakeland District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Norfolk District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Northamptonshire District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Oxfordshire District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Ribble Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Shropshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
South Somerset District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Staffordshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
South Tyneside Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Southampton City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Spelthorne Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
St Albans City Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
St Edmundsbury Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
St Helens Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Stafford Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Staffordshire County Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Stevenage Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Stratford-on-Avon District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Stroud District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Suffolk Coastal District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Suffolk County Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sunderland City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Surrey County Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Surrey Heath Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Swale Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Swindon Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tamworth Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tandridge District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Taunton Deane Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Teesdale District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		
Teignbridge District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Telford & Wrekin Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Tendring District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Test Valley Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tewkesbury Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Thanet District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Three Rivers District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thurrock Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Thurrock Thames Gateway Dev. Corporation	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Torbay Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Torrige District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Trafford Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tynedale District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	Yes		
Uttlesford District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Vale of White Horse District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vale Royal Borough Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Waltham Forest London Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Wansbeck District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	Yes	-	Yes		
Warrington Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Warwick District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Warwickshire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Watford Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Waveney District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Waverley Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wealden District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Wear Valley District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Wellingborough Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Welwyn Hatfield Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

# Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
West Berkshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
West Devon Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Dorset District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Lancashire District Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Lindsey District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
West Northamptonshire Development Corporation	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Oxfordshire District Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
West Somerset District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Sussex County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
West Wiltshire District Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Weymouth & Portland Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Wigan Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wiltshire Council (from 01/04/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Wiltshire County Council (to 31/03/09) <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-		
Winchester City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Woking Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wokingham Borough Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wolverhampton City Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	Yes
Worcester City Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Worcestershire County Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Worthing Borough Council	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
Wychavon District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wycombe District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.1 - Local authorities working electronically

Individual authorities	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
Wyre Borough Council	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wyre Forest District Council	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	-	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes

Summary	2007/08		2008/09		2009/10	
	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>	For Householder appeals <sup>1</sup>	For all 'online' appeals <sup>2</sup>
All authorities (England) <sup>3</sup>	N/A	/	N/A	/	/	/


<sup>1</sup> Householder appeals were introduced in April 2009

<sup>2</sup> Covers Householder appeals, s78 Planning appeals, Listed Building/Conservation Area Consent appeals, s174 Enforcement appeals, Listed Building/Conservation Area Enforcement appeals, Lawful Development Certificate appeals, Advertisement appeals

<sup>3</sup> The total number of authorities reduced as a result of the Local Government Reorganisation in April 2009.


# Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.2 - Visits and Views (England & Wales)



# Statistical Report: England - Online appeals

Table 8.3 - Online appeals as percentage of all appeals (England & Wales)



"All appeals" relates to all appeals capable of being submitted online

# Statistical Report: England - Freedom of Information

Table 9.1 - Requests, responses, reviews

Freedom of Information: England and Wales		2007/08	2008/09	2009/10
Requests		121	95	121
Responses	Advice or assistance provided	11	8	10
	Information not held	13	11	8
	Total resolveable requests	97	76	103
	- Granted in full	77	58	71
	- Partially withheld	7	6	20
	- Fully withheld	13	12	12
	Resolveable requests granted in full (%)	79%	76%	69%
	Resolveable requests withheld in full (%)	13%	16%	12%
	Answered within 20 working days	113	81	105
Percentage meeting 20 day deadline	93%	85%	87%	
Reviews	Reviews undertaken	9	5	8
	Original Decision upheld	5	4	7
	Upheld in Part	2	0	1
	Complaint upheld	2	1	0